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Welcome

Welcome to the nineteenth annual conference of the Society for Text & Discourse! This year, the Society holds its meeting in Rotterdam, the Netherlands: Europe's largest and the world's third-largest seaport and home of the humanist Erasmus. The conference venue is the Golden Tulip Hotel, overlooking the Maas river. Keynote speakers are Peter Hagoort (Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics and Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition, and Behaviour), Arthur Glenberg (Arizona State University), and Herbert Clark (Stanford University). Herbert Clark will be this year’s recipient of the Society’s Distinguished Scientific Contribution Award. In addition to symposia on brain imaging and discourse and developmental processes in language comprehension, the conference will feature a large number of paper and poster presentations on discourse processes, embodied cognition, multimedia, learning technologies, and reading comprehension, to name just a few topics.

We would like to acknowledge the generous support from the Erasmus University Trustfund, the Department of Psychology, and Taylor & Francis. We are very grateful to them for making this conference possible. We would also like to acknowledge the help and support of many people, including the conference authors, conference participants, reviewers, staff, and student helpers. Specifically, we would like to thank Nathan van der Stoep, Ilona Boutestein, Mark Adriaanse, Katinka Beker, Lisa vandeberg, Noortje Coppens, Esther Eijlers, Mario de Jonge, Karen Schuil, and Sophie Kapiteyn for their help. We welcome you to Rotterdam!

The organizing committee, Anique de Bruin, Katinka Dijkstra, Diane Pecher, Huib Tabbers and Rolf Zwaan.
Organizers and Acknowledgements

Local organizing committee
Anique de Bruin, Katinka Dijkstra, Diane Pecher, Huib Tabbers, Rolf Zwaan (chair)

Workshop Organizer
Ted Sanders (Utrecht University, The Netherlands)

Symposia organizers
Jeff Zacks (Washington University, USA), Kate Cain (University of Lancaster, UK)

2009 Student Award Committee
Heather Bortfield, Joe Magliano, Brooke Lea (Chair)

Scientific Awards Committee
Art Glenberg, Debra Long, Ted Sanders, Tony Sanford, Murray Singer (chair)

Fellows Committee
Susan Goldman, Joe Magliano, Leo Noordman, Jennifer Wiley, Ed O’Brien (chair)

Reviewers
Adrian Bangerter, Nathalie Blanc, Anne Britt, Paul van den Broek, Anique de Bruin, Berry Claus, Katinka Dijkstra, Andrew Elfenbein, Stefan Frank, Michael Kaschak, Roger Kreuz, Christopher Kurby, William Levine, Jordan Lippman, Max Louwerse, Jana Lüdtke, Carol Madden, Joe Magliano, Keith Millis, Mante Nieuwland, Leo Noordman, David Rapp, Tobias Richter, José Sanders, Ted Sanders, Gerard Steen, Huib Tabbers, Larry Taylor, and Mija Van Der Wege
Recipient of the Distinguished Scientific Contribution Award

Herb Clark's empirical and theoretical contributions to the psychology of language and discourse are wide-ranging, important, and enduring. His early work on sentence comprehension and memory, bridging inferences, and linguistic processes in deductive reasoning is seminal. His 1977 textbook with Eve Clark, *Psychology of Language*, remained a definitive overview of the field for decades. Likewise, his 1996 monograph *Using Language* is a classic, as are numerous handbook chapters. More recent work on politeness, speech acts, and referring has led to his well-known collaborative theory of language, challenging much traditional work in psycholinguistics. Herb Clark is an inspiring mentor. Many of his students and postdoctoral researchers have made substantial contributions to the field of text and discourse. Perhaps most remarkable about Herb Clark's work is its reception in many fields of inquiry beyond psycholinguistics, promoting progress in human-computer interaction, social psychology, communication, and linguistics. Herb Clark’s work demonstrates an unparalleled appreciation of both the cognitive and social dimensions in language.
Recipient of the Young Investigator Award

The Young Investigator Award recognizes outstanding research in the study of text and discourse by a scholar who has received an advanced degree within the past 10 years. This year’s recipient is Dr. Michael Kaschak. Dr. Kaschak received his Ph.D. from Arizona State University in 2003 and is now an Assistant Professor at Florida State University. He is an emerging leader in the study of language. His research goals are to understand the relation between language comprehension and motor planning, to explain the acquisition of novel syntactic structures in adults, and to examine how patterns of experience affect language production. His research has appeared in prominent journals in cognitive science, including Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, Cognition, Cognitive Science, and the Journal of Memory and Language. He shows superior promise as a leader in the study of text and discourse.

Michael Kaschak
Student Award Winners

The 2009 Student Prize Committee – Brooke Lea (chair), Heather Bortfield, and Joe Magliano – independently reviewed all papers eligible for the Jason Albrecht Outstanding Young Scientist Award or the Outstanding Student Paper Award.

The committee stated that the submissions were very competitive this year with many worthy papers.

**Jason Albrecht Outstanding Young Scientist Award**

We would like to congratulate the winner of the Jason Albrecht Outstanding Young Scientist Award, Michelle Levine for her paper “Copresence in collaborative music-making (Michelle Levine & Michael Schober), which will be presented Tuesday, July 28th, during the 9AM plenary session. The Jason Albrecht Outstanding Young Scientist Award (JAOYSA) honors the memory of Jason Albrecht, a promising young text and discourse researcher who passed away in 1996. The award recognizes an outstanding paper based on a doctoral dissertation.

**Outstanding Student Paper Award**

We would also like to congratulate the winner of the Outstanding Student Paper Award, Michael Mensink, for his paper “Evil geniuses: Inferences from mismatches between trait descriptions and reader preferences” (Michael Mensink & David Rapp), which will be presented Monday, July 27th, during the 13:10 parallel paper session. The Outstanding Student Paper Award (OPSA) recognizes quality in work that is predominantly that of a graduate student.
Fellows of the Society for Text & Discourse

Fellow status is awarded to Society for Text & Discourse members who have made sustained outstanding contributions to the science of their field in the areas of research, teaching, service, and/or application. Fellow status may also be awarded for exceptional contributions to the field through the development of research opportunities and settings. Fellows’ contributions have enriched or advanced an area encompassed by the Society for Text & Discourse on a scale well beyond that of being a good researcher, practitioner, teacher, or supervisor. Their contributions and/or performance have had a significant impact that is recognized broadly in the U.S. and/or internationally.

List of Elected Fellows in 2009

Richard C. Anderson (University of Illinois)
Herbert H. Clark (Stanford University)
Morton A. Gernsbacher (University of Wisconsin - Madison)
Richard J. Gerrig (University of New York at Stony Brook)
Arthur M. Glenberg (Arizona State University)
Susan R. Goldman (University of Illinois - Chicago)
Arthur C. Graesser (University of Memphis)
Walter Kintsch (University of Colorado)
Debra L. Long (University of California - Davis)
Gail McKoon (Ohio State University)
Jerome L. Myers (University of Massachusetts)
Leo G. M. Noordman (Tilburg University)
Jane V. Oakhill (University of Sussex)
Edward J. O'Brien (University of New Hampshire)
Herre van Oostendorp (University of Utrecht)
Anthony J. Sanford (University of Glasgow)
Michael F. Schober (New School for Social Research)
Murray Singer (University of Manitoba)
Paul van den Broek (Leiden University)
Teun A. van Dijk (Universitat Pompeu Fabra)
James F. Voss (University of Pittsburgh)
Conference Venue

The conference venue is the Golden Tulip Hotel located at Leuvehaven 80, Rotterdam. The Golden Tulip Rotterdam-Centre is uniquely located at the bank of the Maas and at the foot of the Erasmus bridge. Golden Tulip Rotterdam-Centre offers a magnificent view over the Maas and the Rotterdam skyline. The hotel is near the metro station Leuvehaven. Cars can be parked opposite the hotel in the parking garage "Erasmusbridge". To get to the Golden-Tulip hotel from Rotterdam Central station by subway, you can take the blue Erasmuslijn from Central station heading for Spijkenisse. (you will need a OV-chipkaart that can be purchased for €7,50 at Rotterdam Central Stations). Your stop is Leuvehaven. You can also go by tram. Take tram 25 to Barendrecht or tram 8 to Spangen. Your stop is Leuvehaven. For more information on the OV-chipkaart visit: http://www.ov-chipkaart.nl/?taal=en. The conference will take place on the 16th floor of the Golden Tulip Hotel, to be reached via the 15th floor with the elevators near the hotel restaurant. Registration takes place on the 15th floor.
Relevant Locations in Rotterdam

Musea

Cultural life is vibrant in Rotterdam. There is a broad selection of museums in Rotterdam. From modern art to historical treasures, from architecture to photography, from historical ships to exotic animals - just about anything you can imagine is displayed in a museum in the city. Prominent museums include the Museum Boijmans van Beuningen, the Netherlands Architecture Institute, the Kunsthall, and the World Arts Museum. Also, the Maritime Museum, nearby the Golden Tulip Hotel, the Netherlands Photo museum, and the Historical Museum of Rotterdam are worth a visit. In addition, there are many interesting galleries and provocative expositions at locations such as the Las Palmas warehouse.

Restaurants

Rotterdam is a city of many flavors like the Dutch, French, Chinese, Moroccan, and Turkish cuisine. There are many reasonably prized restaurants but also luxurious dinner venues for an exclusive business lunch or meetings and incentives. From a simple pub, or a trendy place like the Westelijk Handelsterrein, to one of the best restaurants of Holland: Parkheuvel. A restaurant as ‘Wijn of Water’ stands apart by its experimental setting; eating and drinking from a sea container in a beautiful harbor environment. Closer to the conference avenue, the Witte de Withstraat, near the Golden Tulip Hotel, is a good place to go to try one of the many tasteful restaurants. The many cultural influences create a unique ambiance and paint an accurate picture of Rotterdam’s versatile lifestyle.

You can find architecture, hotels, attractions, museums, restaurants, theatres and shops at: http://www.rotterdam.info/uk/. A map from downtown Rotterdam will be included in the welcome package.

Dinner Cruise

On Monday, July 27th, a dinner cruise on the China Boat is planned through the expansive Rotterdam Port and the City. The price is €50 which includes the cruise, dinner buffet, and drinks to be paid during the conference. While on board, we will enjoy drinks and an elaborate Chinese-Indonesian Rice Table with a large variety of appetizers, entrees, and side dishes (meat, seafood, vegetarian). The dinner cruise is on Monday, July 27 from 7 pm until 10 pm.
Reception and Lunch

The reception on Sunday and the lunch on Monday will be held in restaurant “Prachtig”. The address is:

Willemsplein 77
3016 DR Rotterdam
The Netherlands
Tel: 010-2130640

Transport: Willemsplein is a one-minute walk away from the Golden Tulip hotel. To go by tram: Take tram 7, exit Willemsplein or subway to Slinge or Spijkenisse, exit station Leuvehaven.
Pre-conference Workshop on Readability

Readability, text comprehension and usability: Cognitive and computational aspects. Ever since the 1970’s, readability formulas have had a bad name among researchers, and rightly so. Still, the topic of readability remains a huge challenge to the research community. This workshop starts from the assumption that more fruitful readability work should be possible by now. First, a vast amount of empirical knowledge has been gathered in discourse psychology about reading processes and the way in which they are influenced by text characteristics. Second, developments in language technology over the last two decades have provided new possibilities for insightful ways of (semi-) automatic text analysis, which have a recently proven to be very useful in the context of readability. Finally, readability research has taken a new shape in the area of document design, with fruitful research on the usability of 'functional' text genres such as forms, web sites, instructional texts, and patient information leaflets. This international workshop brings together researchers from discourse psychology, (text and computational) linguistics, and document design, to discuss and further develop cognitive and computational approaches of readability.

A preliminary list of speakers and topics is the following:

- Danielle McNamara (University of Memphis): readability research involving Coh-Metrix.
- Tim vor der Brueck (Fernuniversität Hagen): readability checking in German with DeLite.
- Rogier Kraf and Henk Pander Maat (Utrecht University): readability prediction in Dutch with T-Scan.
- Ted Sanders (Utrecht University): experimental work on the comprehension of educational texts.
- Leo Lentz (Utrecht University): improving the usability patient information leaflets.
- Regina Jucks (Goethe-Universitität Frankfurt): specialist terms in expert-lay interaction and collaborative learning dyads.
Program Overview

Sunday, July 26

09:00–13:00  Pre-Conference Workshop on Readability - Chair: Ted Sanders

13:00–14:30  Registration

13:00–14:00  Governing Board meeting
14:10–14:40  Opening (Rolf Zwaan) and fellows and awards announcements (Art Graesser)
14:40–16:20  Symposium: New findings in the neuroscience of discourse
16:30–17:30  Keynote Address Peter Hagoort
18:00–19:30  Reception at restaurant “Prachtig”

Monday, July 27  (Four parallel sessions will be held on Monday)

08:30–10:10  Comprehension Processes I
              Conversation and Collaborative Performance  (East Room)
              (West Room)

10:30–12:10  Comprehension Processes II
              Learning from Text  (East Room)
              (West Room)

12:10–13:10  Lunch at restaurant “Prachtig”

13:10–14:50  Affecting the reader
              Pragmatics and corpus analysis  (East Room)
              (West Room)

15:10–16:50  Comprehension and thought processes
              Symposium - Developmental aspects of reading comprehension  (East Room)
              (West Room)

17:00–18:00  Keynote Address Herb Clark

Tuesday, July 28  (Together with ESLP)

08:00–08:45  ST&D business meeting
09:00–10:40  Plenary session: Embodied cognition (combined with ESLP conference)
10:40–12:00  Poster session
12:00–13:00  Keynote Address Art Glenberg

End of Conference
Program

Sunday, July 26

09:00-13:00  Workshop (Panorama Room)
   Chair: Ted Sanders

13:00-14:30  Registration

13:00-14:00  Board Meeting (Panorama Room)

14:10-14:40  Opening (Rolf Zwaan) and fellows and awards announcements (Art Graesser) (Panorama Room)

14:40-16:20  Symposium - New findings in the neuroscience of discourse (Panorama Room)

### Symposium - Panorama Room

New Findings in the Neuroscience of Discourse
   Chair: Jeffrey Zacks

14:40-15:00  The neuroanatomy of discourse comprehension: Where are we now?
   Evelyn C. Ferstl (ST01)

   Jeffrey Zacks (ST02)

15:30-15:55  Neurocognitive traces of anticipation in text and discourse.
   Jos Van Berkum (ST03)

16:00-16:20  What can ERPs and fMRI tell us about language comprehension?
   Streams of processing in the brain.
   Gina Kuperberg (ST04)

16:30-17:30  Keynote Address Peter Hagoort (Panorama Room)

18:00-19:30  Reception in Restaurant “Prachtig”
## Monday, July 27

### 8:30-10:10

**Comprehension Processes I (East Room)**</p>**Conversation and collaborative performance (West Room)**

### Parallel Session I

### East Room

**Comprehension Processes I**

*Chair: Pascal Gygax*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:30 – 08:45</td>
<td>Focusing on the competition: The discourse status of focus-induced alternatives.</td>
<td>Elsi Kaiser (ST05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:50-09:05</td>
<td>Gender representation in language and grammatical cues: When beauticians, musicians and mechanics remain men.</td>
<td>Pascal Gygax, Ute Gabriel, Oriane Sarrasin, Jane Oakhill, Alan Garnham (ST06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:10-09:25</td>
<td>Interpretation of gender stereotyped terms.</td>
<td>Alan Garnham, Jane Oakhill, Stephen Hamilton, Emily Kennard, Anna-Marie Armstrong (ST07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:30-09:45</td>
<td>The German army: is it organized in a Prussian way or do they set a high value on loyalty to the emperor?</td>
<td>Judith Schweppe, Ralf Rummer (ST08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:50-10:05</td>
<td>Familiarity of narrative settings and misinformed belief change.</td>
<td>Mija Van Der Wege, David Rapp (ST09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### West Room

**Conversation and Collaborative Performance**

*Chair: Adrian Bangerter*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:30-08:45</td>
<td>Mimicry in action: When people imitate in face-to-face conversation.</td>
<td>Max Louwerse, Rick Dale, Patrick Jeuniaux, Nick Benesh, Shinobu Watanabe, Ellen Gurman Bard (ST10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:50-09:05</td>
<td>Default heuristics for inferring speakers’ communicative intentions in referential communication.</td>
<td>Edmundo Kronmuller, Dale Barr (ST11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:10-09:25</td>
<td>At the crossroads of speaking and writing.</td>
<td>Jean Fox Tree, Sarah Mayer, Teresa Betts (ST12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:30-09:45</td>
<td>Pragmatic expectations in children and adults overhearers: The case of contrastive inferences.</td>
<td>Edmundo Kronmuller, Tiffany Morisseau, Ira Noveck (ST13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:50-10:05</td>
<td>Reinstating an interrupted collaborative task with and without visible cues.</td>
<td>Eric Chevalley, Adrian Bangerter (ST14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Parallel Session II

#### East Room

**Comprehension Processes II**  
*Chair: Ted Sanders*

- **10:30-10:45** Discourse prominence and pronoun resolution: Evidence from French.  
  *Sarah Schimke, Saveria Colonna, Barbara Hemforth (ST15)*

- **10:50-11:05** Are subjective causal relations more complex than objective causal relations? New evidence from eye-tracking.  
  *Anneloes Canestrelli, Pim Mak, Ted Sanders (ST16)*

- **11:10-11:25** Preferences in collective and distributive interpretations of verbal predicates.  
  *Mahayana Godoy, Edson Françozo (ST17)*

- **11:30-11:45** Language independence in bilinguals during sentence comprehension.  
  *Lisa Vandeberg, Rolf Zwaan (ST18)*

- **11:50-12:05** Language specific preferences in anaphor resolution.  
  *Barbara Hemforth, Christoph Scheepers, Lars Konieczny, Joël Pynte, Savèria Colonna (ST19)*

#### West Room

**Learning from Text**  
*Chair: Anique de Bruin*

- **10:30-10:45** The role of deep-level questions, “self” explanations, and domain knowledge in vicarious learning.  
  *Barry Gholson, Scotty Craig, Joshua Brittingham, Mae-Lynn Germany, Karl Fike (ST20)*

- **10:50-11:05** The Effects of Post-Reading, Verbal and Graphical Recall Strategies on Text Retention and Text Comprehension.  
  *Liesbeth Kester (ST21)*

- **11:10-11:25** Detecting contradictions between scientific texts: Is there an effect of reading instruction?  
  *Marc Stadtler, Rainer Bromme, Timo Skodzik (ST22)*

- **11:30-11:45** Students’ expectations of partial credit affect judgments and regulation of learning from text.  
  *Anique de Bruin, Gino Camp, Rolf Zwaan (ST23)*

- **11:50-12:05** Recognition memory for text: Strength-based criterion shifts.  
  *Murray Singer (ST24)*

- **12:10-13:10** Lunch in Restaurant “Prachtig”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>East Room</th>
<th>West Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Affecting the Reader**  
*Chair: Huib Tabbers* | **Pragmatics and Corpus Analysis**  
*Chair: Max Louwerse* |
| **13:10-13:25**  
*How mood influences the mode of text processing: An ERP study.*  
*Dieuwke de Goede, Petra van Alphen, Emma Mulder, Jose Kerstholt, Jos van Berkum* (ST25) | **13:10-13:25**  
*Cohesion and classification of speech acts in arabic discourse.*  
*Art Graesser, Louis Han, Moongee Jeon, John Myers, John Kaltner et al.* (ST30) |
| **13:30-13:45**  
*Individual differences in the comprehension of counterfactual stories. An ERP study.*  
*Mabel Urrutia, Hipólito Marrero, M. Dolores Castillo, Juan A. Hernández, Manuel De Vega* (ST26) | **13:30-13:45**  
*Is a flexible instrument like a moveable tool? Examining the conceptual bases of metaphorically used words with two discourse-analytical methods.*  
*Julia Berenike Herrmann* (ST31) |
| **13:50-14:05**  
*Evil geniuses: Inferences from mismatches between trait descriptions and reader preferences.*  
*Michael Mensink, David Rapp* (ST27) | **13:50-14:05**  
*Creating Illusory Irony*  
*Gina Caucci, Roger Kreuz* (ST32) |
| **14:10-14:25**  
*If you prick us, do we not bleed? Effects of fictional narratives on assumptions about similarity between ingroup and outgroups.*  
*Frank Hakemulder* (ST28) | **14:10-14:25**  
*Lexical entrainment without conceptual pacts*  
*Eric Mayor, Adrian Bangerter, Myriam Aribot* (ST33) |
| **14:30-14:45**  
*Constructed response versus multiple choice: What can we learn about student’s text comprehension from each and both combined.*  
*John Sabatini, Kelly Bruce* (ST29) | **14:30-14:45**  
*What’s in a map? Linguistic and non-linguistic factors in geographical knowledge*  
*Max Louwerse, Nick Benesh* (ST34) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>East Room</th>
<th>West Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15:10-15:25</td>
<td><strong>Comprehension and Thought Processes</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chair: Tobias Richter</td>
<td><strong>Developmental Aspects of Reading Comprehension - Invited Symposium</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chair: Kate Cain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:10-16:50</td>
<td><em>Comprehension and Thought Processes (East Room)</em>&lt;br&gt;Developmental Aspects of Reading Comprehension - Invited Symposium (West Room)*&lt;br&gt;<strong>Parallel Session IV</strong>&lt;br&gt;East Room</td>
<td>West Room Invited Symposium Developmental Aspects of Reading Comprehension Chair: Kate Cain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:10-15:25</td>
<td>The refutational effect in conceptual change processes during text reading: An eye movement analysis&lt;br&gt;Nicola Ariasi, Lucia Mason (ST35)</td>
<td>Development of reading comprehension: going beyond the simple view&lt;br&gt;Panayiota Kendeou, Mary Jane White, Paul van den Broek (ST40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30-15:45</td>
<td>The online comprehension of non-basic indicative conditionals: An Investigation into reasoning as we read&lt;br&gt;Matthew Haigh, Andrew Stewart (ST36)</td>
<td>Preschoolers understanding of implicit and explicit information in a text&lt;br&gt;Maria Chiara Levorato, Elena Florit (ST41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:50-16:05</td>
<td>Readers’ reliance on source credibility in service of comprehension&lt;br&gt;Jesse R. Sparks, David N. Rapp (ST37)</td>
<td>The relation between speed of semantic access, semantic knowledge, and aspects of reading ability&lt;br&gt;Jane Oakhill, Diana McCarthy, Kate Cain (ST42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00-16:20</td>
<td>Monitoring events in the service of comprehension&lt;br&gt;Catherine M. Bohn-Gettler, David N. Rapp, Panayiota Kendeou, Mary Jane White, Paul van den Broek (ST38)</td>
<td>Making links: Can young readers make use of interclausal connectives to guide their text comprehension?&lt;br&gt;Kate Cain, Hannah Nash, Nicola Pooley (ST43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16:30-16:45 | The epistemic stroop effect: evidence for routine epistemic validation processes in language comprehension<br>Maj-Britt Isberner, Tobias Richter (ST39) |
Tuesday, July 28

17:00-18:00  Keynote Address Herb Clark (Panorama Room)

9:00-10:40  Embodied Cognition (Panorama Room)

Panorama Room

Embodied Cognition
Chair: Katinka Dijkstra

09:00-9:15  Simulation in narrative comprehension: The effect of similarities and dissimilarities on personalities between readers and protagonists
Hidetsugu Komeda, Kohei Tsunemi, Keisuke Inohara, Takashi Kusumi (ST44)

09:20-09:35  Language affects effector-specific response force
Lawrence Taylor, Rolf Zwaan (ST45)

09:40-09:55  Eye movements to white space while reading highly visualizable text
Brent Strickland, Rihana Williams (ST46)

10:00-10:15  Copresence in collaborative music-making
Michelle F. Levine, Michael F. Schober (ST47)

10:20-10:35  Implied shape and orientation: Is the match/mismatch effect word- or sentence-based?
Barbara Kaup, Jana Lüdtke (ST48)

10:40-12:00  Poster Session (next page)

12:00-13:00  Keynote Address Art Glenberg (Panorama Room)
Poster Session Index
Tuesday, July 28 - 10:40-12:00

S for ST&D posters (S1-S46)
E for ESLP Posters (E47-E78)

S1: Indexing Situation Dimensions At Event Boundaries
Christopher Kurby, Jeffrey Zacks

S2: Error Production And Task Instruction In Online Narrative Comprehension
Andrew Elfenbein, Steve Yussen, Amy Landers, Kate Bohn

S3: Grammatical And Lexical Aspect Constraints On The Availability Of Discourse Concepts
Raymond Becker, Carol Madden, Todd Ferretti

S4: Automatic And Strategic Cognitive Processes While Reading In A Task Close To Information Search Task
Alexandra Ciaccia, Daniel Martins, Aline Chevalier

S5: The Incorporation Of Setting Information In The Construction Of Global Coherence
Virginia Clinton, Mary Jane White, Paul van den Broek

S6: Readers Monitor Character’s Time Schedule During Narrative Comprehension: Interaction Between Goal And Time Dimensions
Keisuke Inohara, Kohei Tsunemi, Takashi Kusumi

S7: Three Information Functions Of Headings
Robert F. Lorch, Julie Lemarié

S8: Time Course Of Activation For Backward And Forward Causal Inferences: An Fmri Investigation
Jose A. Leon, Inmaculada Escudero, Chantall Pratt, Robert Mason, Marcel A. Just

S9: Effects Of Targeted Questions And Reading Perspectives On Standards Of Coherence And Importance Judgments
Mark Lewis, Michael Mensink

S10: Overcoming Reader Preferences Through Focus On Realism
Daniel Slaten, Elise Krause, David Rapp
S11: Human Event-Related Brain Response To Connectives In Good And Poor Comprehenders
Jose Diaz, Elena Gamez, Inmaculada Leon, Morera Yurena, Manuel de Vega

S12: Dominance Of Grammatical Cues On The Mental Representation Of Gender
Oriane Sarrasin, Pascal Gygax, Ute Gabriel, Jane Oakhill, Alan Garnham

S13: Can The Landscape Model Of Activation Predict Individual Differences?
Danielle Mull, Keith Millis

S14: Classification Of Personality In Large Blog Data
Francisco Iacobelli, Alastair Gill, Scott Nowson, Jon Oberlander

S15: Which Type Of Cognitive Load Underlies The Interaction Of Prior Knowledge And Instructional Format In Multimedia Learning?
Gabriele Cierniak, Katharina Scheiter, Peter Gerjets

S16: Cognitive Processes In Answering Contrastive Survey Questions: The Use Of Eye-Tracking And Reaction Times
Naomi Kamoen, Bregje Holleman, Huub van den Bergh

S17: The Temporal Fall Of The Resonance Process: Effects Of Age And Situational Dimensions
Sarah-Lise Farhat, Isabelle Tapiero

S18: Influence Of Aging On Inhibition And Suppression Of Irrelevant Spatial Information
Céline Renau Op’t’hoog, Isabelle Tapiero

S19: Anaphoric Resolution Processes By L2 Learners Of English During Text Comprehension
Yasunori Morishima, Sayaka Sato

S20: Characteristics Of Collocations In English Writing By Chinese Students
Ying shen

S21: The Influence Of Bilingualism On Story Comprehension
Frederique Fornier, Daniel Martins

S22: Understanding Words: How The Lexical Encoding Of Technical Concepts Impacts On Their Perceived Comprehension
Elisabeth Paus, Regina Jucks
S23: Disentangling Emotional Valence And Arousal Effects During Word Processing
Francesca Citron, Brendan Weekes, Evelyn Ferstl

S24: Comprehension Of Quantifiers In Minimal Context
William Levine

S25: Force Dynamics In The Comprehension Of Causal And Adversative Sentences:
Different Effects Depending On The Locus Of Visual-Animation Task
Yurena Morera, Manuel de Vega

S26: Quantity In Different Sentence Contexts: The Role Of Verticality
Inge Boot, Diane Pecher

S27: Building A Coherent Representation During Reading: Understanding Inferences
Generated By Early Grade School Struggling And Non-Struggling Comprehenders
Sarah Carlson, Paul van den Broek, Kristen McMaster, Mary Jane White, Catherine Bohn-Gettler

S28: Investigating The Difference Eye Movement Pattern During Chinese Fourth
Graders Read Informational Passage
Minglei Chen, Yu-Jen Chang, Hwawei Ko

S29: The Effects Of Causal Structure For Young Children’s Memory Of Chinese
Narrative Texts
Chiung-Hsien Tsai, Yuhtsuen Tzeng

S30: Children’s Understanding Of Tales: The Representation Of Emotional Events
Nathalie Blanc, Arielle Syssau

S31: Reading Strategies In Pisa-Like Tasks
Ladislao Salmerón, Amelia Mañá, Eduardo Vidal-Abarca, Tomás Martínez, Laura Gil

S32: Gender-Cue Is More Important Than Order-Of-Mention For Pronoun Resolution
Of Young Chinese-Speaking Children
Chiu Hua Huang, Yuhtsuen Tzeng, Wei Ming Luh

S33: Selection And Evaluation Of Information Strategies When Answering Questions
From Documents
Raquel Cerdán, Ramiro Gilabert, Eduardo Vidal-Abarca

S34: The Perceived Importance Of Explanations In Narrative And Procedural Texts
Eyal Sagi
S35: Entangled Voices. Journalists’ Representation Modes Of Source Information In Journalistic Subgenres
José Sanders

S36: Discourse Models As Applied To Musical Structure
Richard Ashley, Benjamin Anderson

S37: The Interactional And Multimodal Reference Construction In Children And Adults
Sergio Di Sano

S38: Books With Computer Games: How Interaction With Still Images And Audio Feedback Affects Readers’ Spatial Situation Models
Glenn Smith

S39: Role Of Intonation For Modeling Dialog Acts From The Transcription Of Utterances
Mohammad Sorower, Mohammed Yeasin

S40: Sense Visualization Of Polysemy Words With Latent Semantic Analysis
Guillermo Jorge-Botana, Jose A Leon, Ricardo Olmos

S41: New Algorithms For Evaluation Summaries With Lsa
Ricardo Olmos, José Antonio León, Guillermo Jorge-Botana, Inmaculada Escudero

S42: Using LIWC To Distinguish Irony From Literal Language
Monica A. Riordan, Roger J. Kreuz

S43: Exploring The Efficiency Of Auto-Tutor On Learning
Kris Kopp, Keith Millis, Anne Britt

S44: How Are Overhearers To Monologues And Dialogues In Computer-Mediated Communication Affected By Task Difficulty And Cultural Differences?
Roxanne Raine

S45: Automatic Detection Of The Origin Of English-Written News Articles
Vasile Rus, Moongee Jeon, Arthur Graesser

S46: Verifying Manual Linguistic And Conceptual Metaphor Analysis By The Semantic Annotation Tool Wmatrix
Tina Krennmayr

E47: Visualizing Polysemy Structures Using Lsa And Predication Algorithm
G. Jorge-Batana, J.A. Léon, R. Olmos
E48: The Effect Of Early Activation Of Pertinent Perceptual Symbols In Language Comprehension  
K. Pepera, I. Tapiero

E49: Gaze Grounds Meaning In Situated Human-Robot Interaction  
M. Staudte, M.W. Crocker

E50: The Representation Of The Temporality Of Events As A Dynamic Process: The Effect Of Mental Simulation  
E. Peyroux, I. Tapiero

E51: Language About Force Modulates Response Force  
L. Taylor, R. Zwaan

E52: Language Independence In Bilinguals During Sentence Comprehension  
L. Vandeberg, R. Zwaan

E53: Embodiment Processes In Abstract Concept Learning  
M.L. Lorusso, M. Burigo, A. Milani, L. Pigazzini

E54: “Iconic” Memory: The Effect Of Spatial Iconicity And Word-Order Frequency On Relatedness Judgments And Recognition Memory  
C.A. Kurby, C. Tse, F. Du

E55: Object-Size And Case-Marking Effects In The Appropriateness Of Sentences Describing Scenes  
E. Miyamoto, S. Tanigawa, T. Iizuka

E56: Situated Language Learning  
J. Koehne, M. Crocker

E57: Attributing Causality In Multimodal Language-Graphics Comprehension  
C. Acarturk, C. Habel

E58: Quantity In Different Sentence Contexts: The Role Of Verticality  
I. Boot, D. Pecher

E59: Integration Of The Temporal Dimension Into A Spatial Situation Model Depending On The Verb Used In A Spatial Instruction  
C. Vorwerg, P. Weiß

E60: Modal-Specific Load Incurs Processing Costs: Explaining Interference In The Stroop-Task  
J. Weijers, D. Lakens, H. Ijzerman, P. Cool
E61: How Do Experience And Language Contribute To The Representation Of Abstract Concepts?
P. Della Rosa, E. Catricalà, G. Vigliocco, S.F. Cappa

E62: Semantic Domain-Specific Functional Integration For Action-Related vs. Abstract Concepts
M. Ghio, M. Tettamanti

E63: Representations Of Events Are Situated Where The Experienced Changes Of Related States Are Retained
T. Welke, K. Nowack, G. Schaad, E. van der Meer

E64: Simulating In Progress And Completed Events
C.J. Madden, M. Hoen, P. Ford Dominey

E65: Insights Into The Dynamics Of The Interaction Of Language Semantics, Attention And Perception
S. Lindsay, L. Meteyard

E66: Hands-On Experience: Gestures As Embodied Activities
U. Sassenberg, E. van der Meer

E67: does reading about a protagonist who is pressed for time speed up reading and response times?
B. Kaup, J. Lüdtke

E68: Manipulation And Function Similarity Predict Degree Of Neural Overlap For Objects: Evidence From Fmri-Adaptation
E. Yee, D.M. Drucker, S.L. Thompson-Schill

E69: Sensory Contributions To Simulations
R. Becker, M. Gonzalez

E70: Irony And Embodiment: Evidence From The Movement-Compatibility Effect
R. Filik, C.M. Hunter, H. Leuthold

E71: Look But Don't Touch: Haptic Disadvantage In Conceptual Processing
L. Connell, D. Lynott

E72: Effects Of Linguistic And Visuo-Spatial Semantic Relations On Pronoun Interpretation
E. Kaiser
E73: Flexing Versus Extending: Approach-Avoidance Actions Affect The Processing Of Desiderative-Mood Sentences
B. Claus, R. Bader

E74: Visual Working Memory In Situated Language Comprehension
E. Ellsiepen, M. Crocker

E75: Unmovable Physique Makes Unshakable Beliefs? The Role Of Attention In Processing Abstract Thought
M. Bos, H. IJzerman (shared 1st authorship)

E76: Does How You Feel Matter To How You Read? The Effect Of Mood On Language Comprehension
D. de Goede, P. van Alphen, E. Mulder, J. Kerstholt, J. van Berkum

E77: What Does It Mean To Work? Examining The Functional Neuroanatomical Correlates Of Verbally Implied Action
M. Nieuwland, T. Ditman, G. Kuperberg

E78: Understanding Number Names Affects Visual Processing
A. Myachykov, M. Fischer

12.00  End of Poster Session

12:00-13:00  Keynote Address  Art Glenberg (Panorama Room)
Invited Symposium: New findings in the neuroscience of discourse
Chair: Jeffrey Zacks

ST01: The Neuroanatomy Of Discourse Comprehension: Where Are We Now?
Evelyn C. Ferstl
University of Sussex
United Kingdom

Abstract:
Neuroimaging studies of discourse comprehension can shed light on the component processes of language comprehension in context. Reviews and meta-analyses of studies on inferencing, situation model building and non-literal interpretations have provided evidence for an Extended Language Network, including regions in the medial prefrontal cortex and anterior temporal lobes. In this paper, I will review recent neuroimaging studies published since 2005 and revisit the question of how neuroimaging can inform psycholinguistic theory.

ST02: Neuroimaging Investigations Of Continuous Reading
Jeffrey Zacks
Washington University
United States

Abstract:
Some theories of discourse comprehension propose that reader construct mental representations of the situation described by a text, and then segment the ongoing situation. One possibility is that segmentation results from attempting to predict future inputs, monitoring for failures of prediction, and updating when prediction failures occur. Rapid event-related and self-paced event-related functional MRI data support both of these proposals. The methods can be used to ask a wide array of questions about naturalistic reading.

ST03: Neurocognitive Traces Of Anticipation In Text And Discourse
Jos Van Berkum
Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics
the Netherlands

Abstract:
In this talk, I review our ERP evidence for anticipation in text and discourse comprehension. The evidence suggests that language users are continuously anticipating lots of things, using whatever language-internal and -external cues they have at their disposal. This diversity in causes and effects testifies to the flexibility of the comprehension system, but it also makes clear that we need a more precise analysis of what discourse-based anticipation really is.
ST04: What Can Erps And Fmri Tell Us About Language Comprehension? Streams Of Processing In The Brain

Gina Kuperberg
Tufts University and Mass General Hospital
United States

Abstract:
Traditional models of sentence comprehension have generally focused on the syntactic mechanisms by which words are integrated to construct higher order meaning. I will present ERP and fMRI data suggesting that word-by-word syntactic-based combination operates in parallel with semantic memory-based prediction. This gives rise to a highly dynamic system, ensuring that comprehension is fast and efficient, making maximal use of our prior experience, but also accurate and flexible in the face of novel input.

Monday, July 27 - 8:30-10:10
Parallel session I: Comprehension processes I
(East Room)
Chair: Pascal Gygax

ST05: Focusing On The Competition: The Discourse Status Of Focus-Induced Alternatives

Elsi Kaiser
University of Southern California
United States

Abstract:
Two experiments investigated the discourse-status of focus-induced alternatives (e.g. entities evoked by ‘only’, ‘even’). We tested whether the salience of the competitors/alternatives depends on (i) the salience of the focused entity and/or (ii) whether we are adding another alternative (additive focus) or rejecting earlier alternative(s) (corrective focus). The results suggest the salience of the focused entity does indeed matter (‘inherited salience’), and that additive and contrastive focus pattern differently.

ST06: Gender Representation In Language And Grammatical Cues: When Beauticians, Musicians And Mechanics Remain Men

Pascal Gygax
University of Fribourg
Switzerland

Oriane Sarrasin
University of Lausanne
Switzerland

Alan Garnham
University of Sussex
United Kingdom

Ute Gabriel
Norwegian University of Science and Technology
Norway

Jane Oakhill
University of Sussex
United Kingdom

Jane Oakhill
University of Sussex
United Kingdom

Abstract:
Based on research by Gygax et al. (2008), we examined the influence of pronouns on the mental representation of gender when encountering role names. By adding grammatical cues (i.e., pronouns), we significantly altered the role name’s grammatical influence in German, where the pronoun (sie=feminine)
carried mismatching grammatical cues to the role name (masculine), but not in French, where the pronoun (ils=masculine) matched the role name’s grammatical cues (masculine).

**ST07: Interpretation Of Gender Stereotyped Terms**

Alan Garnham  
University of Sussex  
United Kingdom

Stephen Hamilton  
University of Sussex  
United Kingdom

Anna-Marie Armstrong  
University of Sussex  
United Kingdom

Jane Oakhill  
University of Sussex  
United Kingdom

Emily Kennard  
University of Sussex  
United Kingdom

**Abstract:**

Attempting to refer to the referent of a gender stereotyped term with a pronoun that does not match the stereotype (e.g. nurse...she) is known to cause processing difficulties. Two sets of experiments are reported. One investigates whether clashes with different types of pronouns (reflexives and ordinary definites) produce different ERP signatures (corresponding to syntactic and semantic anomalies). The second looks at the effects of reinforcing or overturning the stereotype using gender-biased adjectival information (e.g. nurse...talkative/fierce.....he).

**ST08: The German Army: Is It Organized In A Prussian Way Or Do They Set A High Value On Loyalty To The Emperor?**

Judith Schwppe  
University of Erfurt  
Germany

Ralf Rummer  
University of Erfurt  
Germany

**Abstract:**

Two experiments have tested the assumption that the influence of notional relative to grammatical number is a function of the distance between antecedent and anaphoric pronoun. For the production and comprehension of pronouns referring to collective nouns, grammatical number agreement was preferred when the pronoun was in the following sentence, while notional number was preferred with a longer distance. The results are interpreted as reflecting the availability of grammatical and conceptual information in short-term memory.

**ST09: Familiarity Of Narrative Settings And Misinformed Belief Change**

Mija Van Der Wege  
Carleton College  
United States

David Rapp  
Northwestern University  
United States

**Abstract:**

Readers learn from fiction, even when the information is inaccurate, but may be less vulnerable to false information when the narrative is presented in a familiar story setting. The current study tests how different types of degrees of familiarity with story settings affects reader’s real world beliefs. Specifically, readers’ familiarity with story settings correlates with belief change based on misinformation presented in narratives. The results are attributed to cognitive resource allocation during reading activities.
Monday, July 27 - 8:30-10:10

Parallel Session I: Conversation and Collaborative Performance
(West Room)
Chair: Adrian Bangerter

ST10: Mimicry In Action: When People Imitate In Face-To-Face Conversation

Max Louwerse  
University of Memphis  
United States

Patrick Jeuniaux  
University of Memphis  
United States

Shinobu Watanabe  
University of Memphis  
United States

Rick Dale  
University of Memphis  
United States

Nick Benesh  
University of Memphis  
United States

Ellen Gurman Bard  
University of Edinburgh  
United Kingdom

Abstract:
People naturally and unintentionally imitate one-another’s behavior. We apply cross-recurrence analysis to a multimodal communication experiment, to reveal imitation of face and hand gestures, and speech. Imitation increases as a function of task complexity and number of conversations. These results are placed in light of cognitive and social accounts of imitation.

ST11: Default Heuristics For Inferring Speakers’ Communicative Intentions In Referential Communication

Edmundo Kronmuller  
Laboratoire sur la Langage, le Cerveau et la Cognition – CNRS  
France

Dale Barr  
University of California, Riverside  
United States

Abstract:
Two experiments were conducted to address how listeners infer speakers' referential communicative intentions. It was found that listeners deploy fast-acting default heuristics that are sensitive to general patterns of communicative behavior, but not sensitive to specifics of the current conversational context, specifically speaker’s perspective or speaker’s characteristics. If these fast-acting default heuristics lead to systematic misunderstandings, listeners compensate by integrating further contextual information.

ST12: At The Crossroads Of Speaking And Writing

Jean Fox Tree  
University of California Santa Cruz  
United States

Sarah Mayer  
University of California Santa Cruz  
United States

Teresa Betts  
University of California Santa Cruz  
United States

Abstract:
Because it shares elements with both written and spoken communication, instant messaging (IM) presents a unique setting in which to explore the predictions of the collaborative theory of language use. The presence and absence of different grounding constraints should cause people to interact differently across different communicative settings. The process of adaptation should be observable and measurable.
In the present study we document how IM changes as users increase in expertise with this communicative medium.

**ST13: Pragmatic Expectations In Children And Adults Overhearers: The Case Of Contrastive Inferences**

**Abstract:**
We present an experiment designed to explore the difference between children and adults in making a Contrastive Inference upon hearing an adjectival modified noun. Previous research has shown that adults make the inference online and without extra effort. Research in developmental pragmatics, in the other hand, has shown that children have troubles making inferences based on pragmatic expectations. Results show that children overhearers do not reliably make Contrastive Inferences.

**ST14: Reinstating An Interrupted Collaborative Task With And Without Visible Cues**

**Abstract:**
How do two people manage to resume a collaborative task after they have been interrupted? In our study, instructors and their partners were interrupted while they were reproducing maps. They took longer and more effort to reinstate their task when the partners’ workspace was invisible to instructors, and when the interruptions were long, or were mid-task. Shared visual evidence in the workspace was critical for them to reconstruct their common ground and continue.

**Monday, July 27 - 10:30-12:10**

**Parallel Session II: Comprehension Processes II**

(East Room)

*Chair: Ted Sanders*

**St15: Discourse Prominence And Pronoun Resolution: Evidence From French**

**Abstract:**
This study uses an eye-tracking paradigm to investigate the resolution of ambiguous pronouns in French, manipulating information status (topic/focus) and grammatical role (subject/object) of the potential antecedents. It was found that topics are more accessible than foci, and objects more accessible than subjects. These results help to better understand the role of discourse prominence for pronoun resolution, and extend previous findings in showing that the subject-preference observed for other languages does not hold in French.
**ST16: Are Subjective Causal Relations More Complex Than Objective Causal Relations? New Evidence From Eye-Tracking**

**Anneloes Canestrelli**  
Utrecht University  
The Netherlands

**Pim Mak**  
Utrecht University  
The Netherlands

**Ted Sanders**  
Utrecht University  
The Netherlands

**Abstract:**  
Linguists have argued in favor of a categorization of subjective versus objective causal relations. Experimental evidence from discourse processing (Traxler et al., 1997ab) suggests this categorization is indeed cognitively relevant. Subjective relations should be considered more complex, since they require more processing time. However, in a new eye tracking experiment, we found that natural subjective causals are not necessarily more difficult than objective relations. Implications for a cognitive theory of causality in discourse are discussed.

**ST17: Preferences In Collective And Distributive Interpretations Of Verbal Predicates**

**Mahayana Godoy**  
State University of Campinas  
Brazil

**Edson Françozo**  
State University of Campinas  
Brazil

**Abstract:**  
Expectations generated by singular expressions denoting single (groups) or multiple entities, as well as singular collective expressions denoting collections of entities, play a role in how subjects provide continuations for passive sentences. We investigated this through a task in which subjects were asked to complete a passive sentence with an agent. The results indicate that readings inducing group interpretation reliably elicited plural agents. In contrast, readings that induced distributed readings elicited plural agents.

**ST18: Language Independence In Bilinguals During Sentence Comprehension**

**Lisa Vandeberg**  
Erasmus University  
Rotterdam  
The Netherlands

**Rolf Zwaan**  
Erasmus University  
Rotterdam  
The Netherlands

**Abstract:**  
We set out to test whether parallel processing of languages could be demonstrated during language comprehension in spoken sentence processing. In Experiment 1 a new measure to select auditory stimulus materials was introduced. Experiment 2 was an eye tracking study using the Visual World Paradigm. The results support the language independent view of lexical access, since bilinguals show co-activation of both languages during sentence comprehension in their second language.
ST19: Language Specific Preferences In Anaphor Resolution

Barbara Hemforth  Lars Konieczny  Savéria Colonna
Université Paris Descartes, University of Freiburg Université Paris 8, CNRS
CNRS Germany France
France

Christoph Scheepers  Joël Pynte
University of Glasgow CNRS
United Kingdom France

Abstract:
We will present eyetracking experiments on pronoun resolution in English, French, and German, using the visual world paradigm. We established a preference for objects as antecedents for within-sentence pronoun resolution in French, whereas a subject preference for highly matched constructions was found for German and English. We will discuss these data in the light of Gricean, accessibility-based, and frequency based approaches.

Monday, July 27 - 10:30-12:10

Parallel Session II: Learning from Text
(West Room)
Chair: Anique de Bruin

T20: The Role Of Deep-Level Questions, “Self” Explanations, And Domain Knowledge In Vicarious Learning

Barry Gholson  Joshua Brittingham  Karl Fike
University of Memphis University of Memphis University of Memphis
United States United States United States

Scotty Craig  Mae-Lynn Germany  Kyle R. Cheney
University of Memphis University of Memphis University of Memphis
United States United States United States

Abstract:
In a 4 X 2 factorial study, four levels of dialogue (a monologue providing basic information, a question condition that gave a question before content, an explanation condition that gave explanations after the content, and a question + explanation condition that combined both features) were contrasted between high and low domain knowledge participants. A two-way interaction was observed where question + explanation improved learning for low domain learners, but hindered high domain learners.
ST21: The Effects Of Post-Reading, Verbal And Graphical Recall Strategies On Text Retention And Text Comprehension

Liesbeth Kester
Open University of the Netherlands
The Netherlands

Abstract:
This study investigated the effects of a post-reading, verbal (i.e., self-explanation) and a graphical (i.e., concept mapping) recall strategy on text retention and text comprehension. Both strategies were contrasted to a control strategy, namely, restudying. Results show that restudying and self-explaining a text yield higher retention test performance than drawing a concept map of a text both on the short- and long-term. Furthermore, participants performed better on the short-term, retention and comprehension test.

ST22: Detecting Contradictions Between Scientific Texts: Is There An Effect Of Reading Instruction?

Marc Stadtler
University of Münster
Germany

Rainer Bromme
University of Münster
Germany

Timo Skodzik
University of Münster
Germany

Abstract:
Identifying conflicts between texts is a common, yet underresearched issue of online reading. The present study examined the influence of two different reading goals on readers’ memory for conflicting information and knowledge acquisition. 86 undergraduates read six online documents either to write a summary or to write an argumentative essay. Results show that those who read in order to write an argumentative essay were better able to indicate intertextual conflicts and acquired more factual knowledge.

ST23: Students’ Expectations Of Partial Credit Affect Judgments And Regulation Of Learning From Text

Anique de Bruin
Erasmus University
Rotterdam
The Netherlands

Gino Camp
Erasmus University
Rotterdam
The Netherlands

Rolf Zwaan
Erasmus University
Rotterdam
The Netherlands

Abstract:
In two experiments, we examined how expectation of partial credit affected students’ monitoring and regulation of learning from texts. The results revealed that students often erroneously judged their responses as correct when the partial credit option was absent. When students were forced to judge whether their response was indeed correct, self-monitoring improved, and study times increased. Poor metacognitive skills are in part due to expectation of partial credit, which is omnipresent in everyday testing.

ST24: Recognition Memory For Text: Strength-Based Criterion Shifts

Murray Singer
University of Manitoba
Canada

Abstract:
This study scrutinized criterion shifts in recognition memory for probes derived from repeated (strong) or unrepeated texts. Ten stories were each read either once or twice consecutively. Recognition testing of
pairs comprising a weak and a strong story yielded higher false alarms for the former. The criterion shift was corroborated by signal detection analysis. Relevant evidence suggests that semantic encoding of stimuli supports criterion shifts and that similar memory mechanisms contribute to recognition for discourse and simpler materials.

**Monday, July 27 - 13:10-14:50**

**Parallel Session III: Affecting the Reader (East)**

*Chair: Huib Tabbers*

**ST25: How Mood Influences The Mode Of Text Processing: An Erp Study**

Dieuwke de Goede  
Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics  
The Netherlands

Emma Mulder  
University of Amsterdam  
The Netherlands

Jose Kerstholt  
TNO Human Factors  
The Netherlands

Jos van Berkum  
Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics; Donders Institute, Centre for Cognitive Neuroimaging  
The Netherlands

**Abstract:**

In a two-session EEG experiment, we used short film clips to manipulate the mood of participants before they read mini-texts in which gender-marked pronouns confirmed or disconfirmed verb-based heuristic expectancies. In line with our predictions, unexpected pronouns elicited a P600 effect when readers were in a happy mood, and did not do so when the same readers were in a sad mood. This supports the idea that a happy mood increases the use of heuristics.

**ST26: Individual Differences In The Comprehension Of Counterfactual Stories. An Erp Study**

Mabel Urrutia  
University of La Laguna  
Spain

M. Dolores Castillo  
University of La Laguna  
Spain

Manuel De Vega  
University of La Laguna  
Spain

Hipólito Marrero  
University of La Laguna  
Spain

Juan A. Hernández  
University of La Laguna  
Spain

**Abstract:**

Participants read stories describing counterfactual or factual events. To explore individual differences, the ERPs methodology was used in three groups of participants differing on their discourse and lexical skills. Results showed significant Context x Group interactions in three loci of the stories, associated to the N-400 and P600 components of the ERP. Good readers detected dual meaning from counterfactual context in early stage of reading while poor readers failed detecting differences between factual and counterfactual context.
ST27: Evil Geniuses: Inferences From Mismatches Between Trait Descriptions And Reader Preferences

Michael Mensink  
University of Minnesota  
United States

David Rapp  
Northwestern University  
United States

Abstract:  
Readers spontaneously infer character traits during narrative comprehension. In four experiments, we investigated how competition between readers’ preferences for characters and the valence of implied traits influenced inference construction. 192 University of Minnesota students read 18 short adapted narratives (Rapp, Gerrig, and Prentice, 2001), and rated the degree to which characters possessed specific traits. Participants were more likely to judge characters as having traits that matched preferences and implied trait valences; mismatches reduced those likelihoods.

ST28: If You Prick Us, Do We Not Bleed? Effects Of Fictional Narratives On Assumptions About Similarity Between Ingroup And Outgroups

Frank Hakemulder  
Utrecht University  
The Netherlands

Abstract:  
This paper focuses on the effects of reading narratives on outgroup perception. It was examined what processes may be responsible, focusing on the transportation hypothesis (Green et al. 2002). Furthermore, it was tested whether perception of outgroups close to home is just as susceptible to change as outgroups further removed. Finally, it was examined whether correspondence between character beliefs and those of the readers augment readers’ presumption that outgroup members are actually much like them.

ST29: Constructed Response Versus Multiple Choice: What Can We Learn About Student’s Text Comprehension From Each And Both Combined

John Sabatini  
Educational Testing Service  
United States

Kelly Bruce  
Educational Testing Service  
United States

Abstract:  
We compared performance of students in 164 6-9th grade on question stem equivalent multiple choice (MC) and constructed response (CR) text comprehension items. The 4-passage test was computer administered as part of a larger battery of component measures of reading. The goal was to better understand sources of text comprehension difficulties in basic understanding of expository texts. Results show how individual differences in student test taking behavior and text features combine to determine score patterns.
Monday, July 27 - 13:10-14:50

Parallel Session III: Pragmatics and Corpus Analysis
(West Room)
Chair: Max Louwerse

### ST30: Cohesion And Classification Of Speech Acts In Arabic Discourse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Art Graesser</th>
<th>John Kaltner</th>
<th>Max Louwerse</th>
<th>Jeff Hancock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Memphis</td>
<td>Rhodes College</td>
<td>University of Memphis, United States</td>
<td>Cornell University, United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Han</td>
<td>Zhiqiang Cai</td>
<td>Xiangen Hu</td>
<td>Cindy Chung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Memphis</td>
<td>University of Memphis, United States</td>
<td>University of Texas at Austin, United States</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moongee Jeon</td>
<td>Phil McCarthy</td>
<td>Vasile Rus</td>
<td>James W. Pennebaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konkuk University</td>
<td>University of Memphis</td>
<td>University of Memphis, United States</td>
<td>University of Texas at Austin, United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea, Republic Of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Myers</td>
<td>Lubna Shala</td>
<td>Danielle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Memphis</td>
<td>University of Memphis, United States</td>
<td>University of Memphis, United States</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abstract:**
The Language Across Cultures (LAC) research group is exploring the extent to which language and discourse characteristics can predict social states of individuals and groups, such as leadership, deception, familiarity, and group coherence. The long-term goal is to have automated analyzes for multiple languages, including English, Arabic, Chinese, and Korean. This presentation presents analyses of Arabic discourse to illustrate cohesion across languages, cohesion as a predictor of social status, and speech act classification.

### ST31: Is A Flexible Instrument Like A Moveable Tool? Examining The Conceptual Bases Of Metaphorically Used Words With Two Discourse-Analytical Methods

**Julia Berenike Herrmann**
VU University Amsterdam
The Netherlands

**Abstract:**
This paper applies a manual procedure for conceptual metaphor analysis to data from the academic register. It tests this procedure by comparing it to a computational tool. The overall goal is to present corpus-based metaphor analysis with new methodology appropriate for scrutinizing conceptual structures underlying natural linguistic data. Secondly, findings are discussed as being / not being representative of academic discourse.
ST32: Creating Illusory Irony

Gina Caucci  
University of Memphis  
United States

Roger Kreuz  
University of Memphis  
United States

Abstract:
The present study contrasted the contributions of lexical factors with contextual factors in the perception of verbal irony. Participants read sarcastic and literal excerpts from published works. Based on results from previous research, sarcastic targets were stripped of important lexical factors (e.g., interjections) thought to influence sarcastic perception, while literal targets were modified to include these factors. Results show that participants rated literal statements as being sarcastic even though the context endorsed a literal interpretation.

ST33: Lexical Entrainment Without Conceptual Pacts

Eric Mayor  
Université de Neuchâtel  
Switzerland

Adrian Bangerter  
Université de Neuchâtel  
Switzerland

Myriam Aribot  
Université de Neuchâtel  
Switzerland

Abstract:
We contrasted two conditions of a tangram matching task: a classical condition where cards remained the same over trials, and a new cards condition where cards changed on each trial. Pairs in the new cards condition, unable to develop conceptual pacts, nevertheless used less and less words. They also exhibited lexical entrainment. Some lexical entrainment in the new cards condition is due to the re-use of the terms to describe card features.

ST34: What’s In A Map? Linguistic And Non-Linguistic Factors In Geographical Knowledge

Max Louwerse  
University of Memphis  
United States

Nick Benesh  
University of Memphis  
United States

Abstract:
Computational linguistic analyses have demonstrated language encodes geographical information. Longitude and latitude of cities can be predicted using their co-occurrences in texts. We investigated whether the geographical location of fictional cities can be estimated, and to what extent they compare to human estimates that are strictly based on visual maps. Results again show that computational linguistic techniques can reliably predict geographical coordinates and that these coordinates are on par with human estimates.
ST35: The Refutational Effect In Conceptual Change Processes During Text Reading: An Eye Movement Analysis

Nicola Ariasi  
University of Padova  
Italy

Lucia Mason  
University of Padova  
Italy

Abstract:  
Research documented that a particular text structure, the refutational, is better than traditional structures in enhancing knowledge restructuring during scientific concepts learning. It documented that eye-tracking is viable in studying global text processing, a complex kind of processing implied in conceptual change. We investigated the refutational effect to see whether different offline learning outcomes are linked to different online cognitive processes in knowledge restructuring. Evidence from eye movements indicates the crucial connection between the two.

ST36: The Online Comprehension Of Non-Basic Indicative Conditionals: An Investigation Into Reasoning As We Read

Matthew Haigh  
University of Manchester  
United Kingdom

Andrew Stewart  
University of Manchester  
United Kingdom

Abstract:  
In this paper we investigate how readers process and represent conditional information of the form if....then during online comprehension. Using self-paced reading we found that readers were sensitive to conditional probability (i.e., the plausibility of a consequent given an antecedent) at the earliest opportunity. We discuss our results in light of other recent psycholinguistic investigations of the processing of conditional information, and in terms of situation model construction and updating.

ST37: Readers’ Reliance On Source Credibility In Service Of Comprehension

Jesse R. Sparks  
Northwestern University  
United States

David N. Rapp  
Northwestern University  
United States

Abstract:  
Three experiments investigated the influence of source credibility on readers’ text inferences. Results suggest that beliefs about credibility can inform inferences during an explicit judgment task; in contrast, credibility exerts less of an influence on tasks that do not require explicit judgments, even when participants are encouraged to carefully evaluate text sources as they read. These findings have implications for models of inference construction and for the design of interventions focused on critical reading skills.
ST38: Monitoring Events In The Service Of Comprehension

Catherine M. Bohn-Gettelr  
Wichita State University  
United States

Panayiota Kendeou  
McGill University  
Canada

Paul van den Broek  
Leiden University  
The Netherlands

Davide N. Rapp  
Northwestern University  
United States

Mary Jane White  
University of Memphis  
United States

Abstract:
When reading narratives, adults monitor changes in time, space, characters, goals, and causality. The developmental literature has similarly studied such issues, but has focused on the development of children’s knowledge of each dimension rather than their applications of such knowledge. The current study revealed that, like adults, middle school children’s post-reading memory is organized around similar dimensions. However, children do not spontaneously monitor the same dimensions during moment-by-moment reading.

ST39: The Epistemic Stroop Effect: Evidence For Routine Epistemic Validation Processes In Language Comprehension

Maj-Britt Isberner  
University of Cologne  
Germany

Tobias Richter  
University of Cologne  
Germany

Abstract:
We assume comprehenders to routinely use their world knowledge for validating incoming information whenever they construct a situation model during comprehension. Accordingly, comprehenders should be able to reject invalid information efficiently and non-strategically. In an experiment testing this assumption, participants judged the orthographical correctness of words embedded in sentences rendered true or false by the linguistic context. Orthographical judgments were prolonged when affirmative responses were required for words in invalid assertions (epistemic Stroop effect).
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ST40: Development Of Reading Comprehension: Going Beyond The Simple View

Panayiota Kendeou  
McGill University  
Canada

Mary Jane White  
University of Memphis  
United States

Paul van den Broek  
Leiden University  
The Netherlands

Abstract:
We examined the development of oral language and decoding skills from preschool to elementary school and their relation to reading comprehension. Four- and six-year old children were tested on oral language and decoding skills, and were retested two years later. Structural Equation Modeling was used to test a series of theoretically-driven developmental models and showed that both sets of skills follow unique developmental trajectories, each predicting and being predicted by each other at different ages.
**ST41: Preschoolers Understanding Of Implicit And Explicit Information In A Text**

Maria Chiara Levorato
University of Padova
Italy

Elena Florit
University of Padova
Italy

**Abstract:**
The study analyzed the role played by verbal skills, memory resources and inferential processes in preschoolers understanding of explicit and implicit information in oral texts. Listening comprehension of explicit and implicit information, verbal skills, verbal memory and inferential processes were assessed in 133 and 88 children aged 4- and 5-years. The component skills played a different role in the comprehension of explicit and implicit information.

**ST42: The Relation Between Speed Of Semantic Access, Semantic Knowledge, And Aspects Of Reading Ability**

Jane Oakhill
University of Sussex
United Kingdom

Diana McCarthy
University of Sussex
United Kingdom

Kate Cain
Lancaster University
United Kingdom

**Abstract:**
This paper explores the relation between aspects of children's reading (word recognition and comprehension), aspects of vocabulary knowledge (breadth and depth) and ability to make links in text to make inferences and derive the theme of a text or word list.

**ST43: Making Links: Can Young Readers Make Use Of Interclausal Connectives To Guide Their Text Comprehension?**

Kate Cain
Lancaster University
United Kingdom

Hannah Nash
University of York
United Kingdom

Nicola Pooley
Lancaster University
United Kingdom

**Abstract:**
We report two reading-time experiments to investigate whether different types of connective (temporal, causal, adversative) influence 7-8 and 9-10 year-olds’ processing and comprehension of texts. For both age groups, the presence of an appropriate connective facilitated reading and the presence of an inappropriate connective disrupted reading. Additionally, the presence of an inappropriate connective resulted in lower sense judgements. The data show that young readers’ processing and comprehension of texts is influenced by these cohesive devices.
Embodied Cognition  
Chair: Katinka Dijkstra

ST44: Simulation In Narrative Comprehension: The Effect Of Similarities And Dissimilarities On Personalities Between Readers And Protagonists  
Hidetsugu Komeda  
University of Wisconsin-Madison  
United States  
Keisuke Inohara  
Kyoto University  
Japan  
Kohei Tsunemi  
Kyoto University  
Japan  
Takashi Kusumi  
Kyoto University  
Japan

Abstract:  
We examined whether similarities and dissimilarities of personalities on personalities (extraversion/neuroticism) between readers and protagonists influenced narrative comprehension. We performed a 2 x 2 factorial design on each personality; Participants’ personalities were between subjects. The story protagonist was within subjects. Results suggest that readers took time to judge protagonist’s emotion more slowly when reading stories about dissimilar protagonists to themselves than when reading those about control protagonists. This finding is consistent with simulation theory.

ST45: Language Affects Effector-Specific Response Force  
Lawrence Taylor  
Erasmus Universiteit  
Rotterdam  
The Netherlands  
Rolf Zwaan  
Erasmus Universiteit  
Rotterdam  
The Netherlands

Abstract:  
Comprehension of language about action is associated with motor activation. One experiment addresses two novel aspects of this phenomenon and introduce the concept of motor parameterization from the action planning literature. First, response force is found to be affected by sentences that imply a high or low degree of biomechanical force. Second, the data indicate that different action parameters (effector and force) are activated by a sentence. The results are discussed in terms of action parameterization and language comprehension and in light of previous evidence.

ST46: Eye Movements To White Space While Reading Highly Visualizable Text  
Brent Strickland  
Yale University  
United States  
Rihana Williams  
Georgia State University  
United States

Abstract:  
In an eye movement study, we demonstrated that readers gaze into the white space of the screen more often when the meaning of a text is highly visualizable compared to when it is abstract. This supports the embodied cognition perspective that readers construct situation models during comprehension by reactivating perceptual resources (Zwaan, 2005; Barsalou, 1999), and that eye movements function to
reduce interference between visually based comprehension processes and the visual processing of actual text.

**ST47: Copresence In Collaborative Music-Making**

Michelle F. Levine  
The New School for Social Research  
United States

Michael F. Schober  
The New School for Social Research  
United States

**Abstract:**
How do remote communication media affect the success of collaborative music-making? How is it similar or different to conversational discourse? We compared performances of 30 jazz piano and saxophone duos when they played in the same physical space, in separate spaces with video mediation, and in separate spaces with only audio mediation. Precise measurement of their coordination accuracy, compared to feelings of copresence, highlight the different demands of particular moments of coordination.

**ST48: Implied Shape And Orientation: Is The Match/Mismatch Effect Word- Or Sentence-Based?**

Barbara Kaup  
Technical University of Berlin  
Germany

Jana Lüdtke  
Free University of Berlin  
Germany

**Abstract:**
Participants read sentences that implied a certain shape or orientation for a mentioned target entity. The two versions of each sentence differed with respect to the implied shape or orientation but not with respect to content words (e.g., “Mary finds the paint brush in the water mug next to the paint box” vs, “Mary finds the paint brush in the the paint box next to the water mug”). Participants were subsequently presented with a picture that either matched or mismatched the implied shape or orientation. No match effect was observed. However, a significant match effect was observed in conditions in which only the relevant critical word was mentioned (e.g., “Mary finds the paint brush in the water mug / paint box”).
S1: Indexing Situation Dimensions At Event Boundaries

Christopher Kurby  Jeffrey Zacks
Washington University  Washington University
United States  United States

Abstract:
Readers spontaneously segment narratives into a series of discrete events by monitoring for changes in the situation (e.g., goals). This experiment examined the extent to which readers index information along these dimensions at the boundaries between events. Readers first provided think aloud protocols for each clause in an extended narrative, and then segmented the narrative into events. Readers mentioned situation features, such as characters, time, goals, and causes, more at event boundaries than event middles.

S2: Error Production And Task Instruction In Online Narrative Comprehension

Andrew Elfenbein  Amy Landers
University of Minnesota  University of Minnesota
United States  United States

Steve Yussen  Kate Bohn
University of Minnesota  Wichita State University
United States  United States

Abstract:
The aim of the present study was to investigate the effects of reader goals on the on-line production of errors during narrative comprehension. Task instructions (interpret, remember, entertain) affected the production of meaning errors but not inference or tracking errors in subjects’ on-line processing of narrative texts.

S3: Grammatical And Lexical Aspect Constraints On The Availability Of Discourse Concepts

Raymond Becker  Carol Madden  Todd Ferretti
Wilfrid Laurier University  Erasmus University  Wilfrid Laurier University
Canada  Rotterdam  Canada

Abstract:
Our understanding of how temporal information constrains situation models is far from complete. In this study we present short stories, manipulating the grammatical and lexical verb aspect of target events, in conjunction with long and short duration events. We use ERP methodology to investigate how the brain responds to these temporal constraints. Our results suggest that all of these variables have an influence on the availability of discourse concepts in situation models.
**S4: Automatic And Strategic Cognitive Processes While Reading In A Task Close To Information Search Task**

Alexandra Ciaccia  
Université Paris Ouest  
Nanterre La Défense  
France  

Daniel Martins  
Université Paris Ouest  
Nanterre La Défense  
France  

Aline Chevalier  
Université Paris Ouest  
Nanterre La Défense  
France  

Abstract:  
Two models of reading comprehension – search after meaning view and resonance model – were tested in a task close to an information search task. Type of the text situation model and number of repetitions of specific textual information were manipulated. Target sentences reading times suggested that participants took situation model into account only when specific textual information was mentioned one time. With four repetitions, reading times increased, which corroborated the resonance model.

**S5: The Incorporation Of Setting Information In The Construction Of Global Coherence**

Virginia Clinton  
University of Minnesota  
United States  

Mary Jane White  
University of Memphis  
United States  

Paul van den Broek  
Leiden University  
Netherlands  

Abstract:  
This study’s purpose is to examine whether readers incorporate setting information to construct a globally coherent mental representation of a text. The results of an experiment with forty undergraduates revealed reading times were longer when reading events that were inconsistent with the setting than when reading events that were consistent with the setting. These findings indicate that setting is incorporated in the construction of global coherence, illuminating the importance of setting as a narrative element.

**S6: Readers Monitor Character’s Time Schedule During Narrative Comprehension: Interaction Between Goal And Time Dimensions**

Keisuke Inohara  
Kyoto University  
Japan  

Kohei Tsunemi  
Kyoto University  
Japan  

Takashi Kusumi  
Kyoto University  
Japan  

Abstract:  
This study examined whether readers monitor the relationship between goal structure and time dimension in narrative comprehension. Two factors were examined: (1) goal conflict, which varied according to whether the timeframes of a character’s goals conflicted; and (2) the importance of the primary goal, which varied between moderate and high importance. Assessments of reading time and latency of responses to questions in two experiments suggested that readers monitor goal conflict and varies processes during reading.

**S7: Three Information Functions Of Headings**

Robert F. Lorch  
University of Kentucky, Lexington  
United States  

Julie Lemarié  
Université de Toulouse, Toulouse  
France  

Abstract:  
SARA is a comprehensive theory of text signaling devices. Three experiments tested a central claim of
SARA that signals communicate distinguishable types of information about text structure and content. Experiments 1 and 2 demonstrated that the search for information in a text is facilitated by topic-identifying information and labeling information. Experiment 3 demonstrated that readers use demarcating information provided by signals. Together, the experiments support distinctions among three types of information communicated by signals.

S8: Time Course Of Activation For Backward And Forward Causal Inferences: An Fmri Investigation

Jose A. Leon | Chantall Pratt | Marcel A. Just
---|---|---
Autonoma University of Madrid, Spain | CCBI Carnegie Mellon University, United States | CCBI Carnegie Mellon University, United States

Inmaculada Escudero | Robert Mason
Nebrija University of Madrid, Spain | CCBI Carnegie Mellon University, United States

Abstract:
The aim of this study was to determine the neural underpinnings of forward and backward causal inferences. We used event-related fMRI to determine the localization and time course of activation. 16 participants read 46 short, 3-sentence passages in one of three conditions: Explicit, Forward, and Backward. Activation was analyzed in two time windows (inference and resolution). Results revealed that backward inferences were drawn during the inference window, whereas forward inferences were not drawn consistently.

S9: Effects Of Targeted Questions And Reading Perspectives On Standards Of Coherence And Importance Judgments

Mark Lewis | Michael Mensink
University of Minnesota, United States | University of Minnesota, United States

Abstract:
Pre-reading instructions can greatly influence readers’ cognitive processes and products during comprehension (McCruden & Schraw, 2007). Fifty-five University of Minnesota students received either a perspective or control pre-reading instruction before reading either a congruent or incongruent informational text. Participants then completed a 24 item reading inventory structured around Standards of Coherence and rated sentences for importance. Results indicated that perspective instructions may 1) subtly influence perceptions of importance and 2) induce a reframing reading strategy.

S10: Overcoming Reader Preferences Through Focus On Realism

Daniel Slaten | Elise Krause | David Rapp
Northwestern University, United States | Northwestern University, United States | Northwestern University, United States

Abstract:
Readers’ inferences can be guided by wishes and desires for particular story events. The current study examined whether readers can overcome the biases that their preferences establish. In one experiment, readers were provided with explicit instructions about how authors use emotional content to influence readers and to “focus on what might realistically happen next.” The results indicate that while
preferences exert an important influence on inferential judgments, instructions can reduce their influence on narrative experiences.

S11: Human Event-Related Brain Response To Connectives In Good And Poor Comprehenders

Jose Diaz  Inmaculada Leon  Manuel de Vega
University of La Laguna  University of La Laguna  University of La Laguna
Spain  Spain  Spain

Elena Gamez  Morera Yureka
University of La Laguna  University of La Laguna
Spain  Spain

Abstract:
In order to know the role that connectives play in reading, the EEGs of three groups of subjects differing in two criteria (lexical ability and reading comprehension) were registered. The presence or absence of connectives in the experimental texts was associated with differences in the ERP: although the differences emerge around the 400 ms window, they seem to be more related to individual levels of reading proficiency that to (more simple or automatic) lexical processing.

S12: Dominance Of Grammatical Cues On The Mental Representation Of Gender

Oriane Sarrasin  Ute Gabriel  Jane Oakhill
University of Lausanne  Norwegian University of Science and Technology  University of Sussex
Switzerland  Norway  United Kingdom

Pascal Gygax
University of Fribourg
Switzerland

Abstract:
Gygax, Gabriel, Sarrasin, Oakhill & Garnham (2008) showed that when encountering role names, readers form a mental representation of gender based on grammatical cues in French and German. We tested the dominance of these grammatical cues by focusing on three variables that might challenge it: enhanced stereotypical information, valence and personal attitudes. Whereas the two first variables had not the expected effects, the mental representation of gender was showed to vary as a function of personal attitudes.

S13: Can The Landscape Model Of Activation Predict Individual Differences?

Danielle Mull  Keith Millis
Northern Illinois University  Northern Illinios University
United States  United States

Abstract:
The landscape model was used to simulate the memory for skilled and less skilled readers. Participants’ recalls of text were compared to a number of landscape models. Results found few differences between readers, indicating the generalizability of the model. However, readers of varying WM capacity did not differ when WM parameters were altered, and the exclusion of causality in the model did not affect model fit.
S14: Classification Of Personality In Large Blog Data

Francisco Iacobelli  
Northwestern University  
United States

Scott Nowson  
Macquarie University  
Australia

Alastair Gill  
Northwestern University  
United States

Jon Oberlander  
University of Edinburgh  
United Kingdom

Abstract:
For this paper we trained and tested naïve Bayes classifiers for the big five personality traits in a large blog dataset. Bloggers filled a personality questionnaire. We trained our classifier using n-grams, and obtained accuracies that are, in many cases, comparable or higher than those reported in previous research. We describe interactions among personality traits and feature selection of the classifiers and compare our results with previously reported ones.

S15: Which Type Of Cognitive Load Underlies The Interaction Of Prior Knowledge And Instructional Format In Multimedia Learning?

Gabriele Cierniak  
Knowledge Media Research Center, Tuebingen  
Germany

Katharina Scheiter  
University of Tuebingen  
Germany

Peter Gerjets  
Knowledge Media Research Center, Tuebingen  
Germany

Abstract:
The phenomenon that more knowledgeable learners do not benefit or even suffer from instructional formats that work successfully with less knowledgeable learners was examined. Using a 2x2 design we compared different assumptions about the mechanism underlying this effect made in cognitive load theory (CLT), multimedia, and text-processing research. Subjective ratings of load types and learners’ viewing behavior could not support the CLT assumption, but might be interpreted in favor of the multimedia and text-comprehension assumptions.

S16: Cognitive Processes In Answering Contrastive Survey Questions: The Use Of Eye-Tracking And Reaction Times

Naomi Kamoen  
Utrecht University  
The Netherlands

Bregje Holleman  
Utrecht University  
The Netherlands

Huub van den Bergh  
Utrecht University  
The Netherlands

Abstract:
An assumption in the construction of survey questions is that the choice for a positive or a negative wording is irrelevant. However, research shows that people express their opinions more positively when the question is phrased negatively. In an experiment we related these wording effects to the cognitive processes underlying question answering using both reaction times and eye-tracking. We will reflect on these methods, and also on differences in processing positively and negatively worded questions.
S17: The Temporal Fall Of The Resonance Process: Effects Of Age And Situational Dimensions

Sarah-Lise Farhat  Isabelle Tapiero
University Lyon 2  University Lyon 2
France  France

Abstract:
In two experiments, we investigated how young and elderly people reactivate information while reading emotional and spatial texts. We assumed that the reactivation process, called the resonance process has two main components: an automatic component (Myers & O’Brien, 1998) and a strategic component (Sanford & Garrod, 1998). Results showed that these two components intervened alternatively according to a temporal fall for emotional text, but not for spatial texts for both young and older adults.

S18: Influence Of Aging On Inhibition And Suppression Of Irrelevant Spatial Information

Céline Renau Op’t’hoog  Isabelle Tapiero
University Lyon 2  University Lyon 2
France  France

Abstract:
The goal of our study was to investigate how readers update their situation model, by specifically testing the distinction between inhibition and suppression of irrelevant text information. We assumed that inhibition of irrelevant information occurs before suppression takes place. Younger and older participants were instructed to read texts that contained relevant and irrelevant spatial information. Our main results confirmed our hypothesis and showed the influence of aging on the inhibition and suppression processes.

S19: Anaphoric Resolution Processes By L2 Learners Of English During Text Comprehension

Yasunori Morishima  Sayaka Sato
International Christian University  International Christian University
Japan  Japan

Abstract:
Our reading time data suggest that in second language reading, when an anaphora is encountered soon after the occurrence of the antecedent, readers engage in anaphoric resolution. However, when there is a substantial distance between the antecedent and anaphora, L2 readers do not recognize the anaphora as such and engage in anaphoric resolution on-line. We argue that in such a case, the antecedent is no longer activated and the attention has shifted from the antecedent.

S20: Characteristics Of Collocations In English Writing By Chinese Students

Ying shen
Tohoku University
Japan

Abstract:
This study attempts to identify the characteristics of collocations. The result is as follows: (1) Chinese students commit more lexical collocational mistakes than grammatical ones either in the total number or the total type of collocations. (2) No evident relationship between the use of correct collocations and coherence exists in writings by Chinese students. (3) English majors have a tendency to make verb-related collocational mistakes, whereas non-English majors are likely to commit preposition-related ones.
S21: The Influence Of Bilingualism On Story Comprehension

Frederique Fornier  
Université de Paris Ouest  
Nanterre La Defense  
France

Daniel Martins  
Université de Paris Ouest  
Nanterre La Defense  
France

Abstract:
This research examines how Portuguese-French bilingual individuals understand texts written in two languages. The reading times indicate that bilingual as well as French monolingual participants detect the incoherent target sentences presented in these texts. This result suggests that situation model representation is free from the language in which texts are written.

S22: Understanding Words: How The Lexical Encoding Of Technical Concepts Impacts On Their Perceived Comprehension

Elisabeth Paus  
Goethe University Frankfurt  
Germany

Regina Jucks  
Goethe University Frankfurt  
Germany

Abstract:
Deep going text comprehension is particularly influenced by readers’ understanding of specialist terms. Specialist concepts can be encoded either with words of Greek or Latin origin (GLTT) or in everyday language terms (ELTT). This study investigates whether the origin of technical terms influences their mental representation as well as subjective feelings of knowing. As expected, ELTT were perceived to be more easily defined, more familiar, more easily accessible and estimated to be better understood. Implementations for the usage of technical terms in learning contexts are discussed.

S23: Disentangling Emotional Valence And Arousal Effects During Word Processing

Francesca Citron  
University of Sussex  
United Kingdom

Brendan Weekes  
University of Sussex  
United Kingdom

Evelyn Ferstl  
University of Sussex  
United Kingdom

Abstract:
Emotional content affects early processing of words (Kissler et al., 2007). This study aimed to disentangle the effects of valence and arousal by manipulating both of them in a lexical decision task. 28 English native speakers were presented with 150 words manipulated for both dimensions. Reaction times and ERP responses were recorded. Both effect of valence and arousal were found, as well as an interaction between these two dimensions, suggesting a complex relationship between them.

S24: Comprehension Of Quantifiers In Minimal Context

William Levine  
University of Arkansas  
United States

Abstract:
Subjects were presented with quantifiers paired with displays of circles, a variable number of which were filled in. Subjects decided whether the quantifier was a good description of the percentage of circles filled. On critical trials, the quantifier was “few” or “a few”. These trials were preceded by trials in which a variable percentage of circles were filled. Responses to “few” were faster when there were more circles filled in the prior trial.
S25: Force Dynamics In The Comprehension Of Causal And Adversative Sentences: Different Effects Depending On The Locus Of Visual-Animation Task

Yurena Morera  
University of La Laguna  
Spain

Manuel de Vega  
University of La Laguna  
Spain

Abstract:
Participants listened to causal or adversative two-clause sentences while watching a visual animation that matched or mismatched the sentence force dynamic. They performed two speeded tasks: A perceptual judgment on the animation, and a word choice that best completed the sentence. When the animation was introduced at the end of the sentence, participants’ word choice depended on the animation force dynamic. When the animation was introduced in the middle of the sentence participant’s choices were faster in the sentence-animation force dynamics matching than the mismatching condition.

S26: Quantity In Different Sentence Contexts: The Role Of Verticality

Inge Boot  
Erasmus University  
Rotterdam  
The Netherlands

Diane Pecher  
Erasmus University  
Rotterdam  
The Netherlands

Abstract:
We examined the metaphor QUANTITY-IS-VERTICALITY beyond the context of metaphorical language. Participants read sentences in which the quantity was a little or a lot in the context of that sentence and made a decision over the location a letter p or q was presented at the top or bottom of the screen. Letters were identified better at the top of the screen when they read a sentence in which the quantity was a lot compared to a little, whereas performance was better on letter at the bottom of the screen when they had read a sentence in which the quantity was a little. This suggests that the image schema (VERTICALITY) got active during comprehension of non-metaphorical sentences about quantity.

S27: Building A Coherent Representation During Reading: Understanding Inferences Generated By Early Grade School Struggling And Non-Struggling Comprehenders

Sarah Carlson  
University of Minnesota,  
Twin Cities  
United States

Mary Jane White  
University of Memphis  
United States

Panayiota Kendeou  
McGill University  
Canada

Paul van den Broek  
Leiden University  
Netherlands

Catherine Bohn-Gettler  
Wichita State University  
United States

David Rapp  
Northwestern University  
United States

Kristen McMaster  
University of Minnesota,  
Twin Cities  
United States

Abstract:
This study examines inferences generated during reading and how comprehension can be improved with appropriate texts and instruction. Fourth grade struggling (n= 28) and non-struggling comprehenders (n= 21) participated in an embedded causal questioning reading task. Students were given two opportunities to answer each question. A statistical difference between comprehender type and the non-target
inferences was found; however, no statistical difference between comprehender type and the target inferences and amount of prompting was found.

S28: Investigating The Difference Eye Movement Pattern During Chinese Fourth Graders Read Informational Passage

Minglei Chen
National Central University
Taiwan, Province Of China

Yu-Jen Chang
National Central University
Taiwan, Province Of China

Hwawei Ko
National Central University
Taiwan, Province Of China

Abstract:
The present study was to investigate the difference eye movement pattern between high and low Chinese character scores students. 4th-graders were instructed to read an informational passage and had a comprehension test. After test, readers reread the passage and revise their answer. The findings showed that students with higher characters performance better than lower students, not only since they recognize character quicker, but also since they can adjust their reading time as they rereading passage.

S29: The Effects Of Causal Structure For Young Children’s Memory Of Chinese Narrative Texts

Chiung-Hsien Tsai
National Chung Cheng University
Taiwan, Province Of China

Yuhtsuen Tzeng
National Chung Cheng University
Taiwan, Province Of China

Abstract:
Pre-literate children’s developing ability to comprehend narrative texts with different causal structures was our focus. Twenty-five 5-year-old and 23 6-year-old native speakers of Chinese in Taiwan listened and viewed story pictures on computers. Four narratives were used, which included two hierarchical and two sequential versions. The results revealed that young children generated better memory for hierarchical than for sequential version. Moreover, 6-year-old children were better than 5-year-old in text free recall.

S30: Children’s Understanding Of Tales: The Representation Of Emotional Events

Nathalie Blanc
University Montpellier 3
France

Arielle Syssau
University Montpellier 3
France

Abstract:
This study investigates the way children represent three types of emotional events from stories: Events associated with emotional label, events associated with emotional behavior, events having emotional implications. The representation 5 to 7-year-old children built from three tales was assessed though a statements judgment task. Results revealed that children’s age and gender determine their representation of emotional events, but also their monitoring of four other situational dimensions (i.e., Space, Time, Causality, Protagonist).
S31: Reading Strategies In Pisa-Like Tasks
Ladislao Salmerón  Eduardo Vidal-Abarca  Laura Gil
University of Valencia  University of Valencia  University of Valencia
Spain  Spain  Spain
Amelia Mañá  Tomás Martínez
University of Valencia  University of Valencia
Spain  Spain
Abstract:
We explored the reading strategies used by 256 children while performing a PISA-like task, in which students a) read the texts and accessed the questions in a flexible way and b) read several continuous and non-continuous (e.g. diagrams) texts. We used a combination of methods relying on on-line measures of sequences and reading times, which revealed the existence of consistent strategies across continuous and non-continuous texts that are linked to different performance levels.

S32: Gender-Cue Is More Important Than Order-Of-Mention For Pronoun Resolution Of Young Chinese-Speaking Children
Chiu Hua Huang  Yuhtsuen Tzeng  Wei Ming Luh
National Cheng Kung University  National Chung Cheng University  National Cheng Kung University
Taiwan, Province Of China  Taiwan, Province Of China  Taiwan, Province Of China
Abstract:
We investigated pronoun resolution processes for 84 8-year-old Chinese-speaking children for short texts. When the names of the subject and object in the first sentence belong to the same gender, children show a higher tendency to consider the pronoun in the second sentence refers to the first-mentioned subject. However, the order-of-mention effect disappears when the two names belong to different genders and they choose the correct gender better than chance but still far from perfect.

S33: Selection And Evaluation Of Information Strategies When Answering Questions From Documents
Raquel Cerdán  Ramiro Gilabert  Eduardo Vidal-Abarca
University of Valencia  University of Valencia  University of Valencia
Spain  Spain  Spain
Abstract:
Some strategies to answer questions are not always helpful to find the right answer. 79 secondary school students were measured on comprehension level and performed a question-answering task on the computer. They were given two texts and were presented with six questions per text, three of which were manipulated to falsely induce matching question-text, especially for poor comprehenders. Good comprehenders scored higher than poor comprehenders, who contrarily copied information more times based on superficial cues.
**S34: The Perceived Importance Of Explanations In Narrative And Procedural Texts**

Eyal Sagi  
Northwestern University  
United States

**Abstract:**  
Geiger and Millis (2004) suggest that differences in readers’ comprehension of expository and procedural texts are a result of differences in local coherence. In this paper I present evidence for an interaction between text type (narrative vs. procedural) and structure (the position of an explanation) in the representation constructed by readers. This interaction suggests differences in the way readers use explanations in the two types of texts.

**S35: Entangled Voices. Journalists’ Representation Modes Of Source Information In Journalistic Subgenres**

José Sanders  
VU Universiteit Amsterdam / Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen  
The Netherlands

**Abstract:**  
Corpus analysis of texts from three journalistic subgenres reveals that in news texts, clearly recognizable representations of source information are abundant, such as direct quotation and indirect speech/thought. It is analysed why representation modes that blend the source’s and journalist’s voices, such as implicit viewpoint and free indirect speech/thought – a technique from literary fiction - are rare in hard news, but permitted and even frequent in subjective journalistic genres such as background and opinion articles.

**S36: Discourse Models As Applied To Musical Structure**

Richard Ashley  
Northwestern University  
United States

Benjamin Anderson  
Northwestern University  
United States

**Abstract:**  
We explore ways in which linguistic discourse models can be used to represent musical structure and in comparing such network models to hierarchic tree structures. Our first project is corpus-based, studying local vs. global processing dealing with small piano pieces; the second, experimental, project looks at the effect of global context, namely the genre of the twelve-bar blues on harmonic syntax violations. In both cases we find discourse-level aspects highly significant.

**S37: The Interactional And Multimodal Reference Construction In Children And Adults**

Sergio Di Sano  
G. d’Annunzio University  
Italy

**Abstract:**  
The research explores how speakers and addresses cooperate during conversation in real-time: the speakers monitoring the addressees and the addressees displaying their understanding. The experimental paradigm devised by Clark and Krych (2004) has been adapted to elementary school children and adults. The results showed an increase of performance with age but also the presence of the same types of gestures and synchronization mechanisms in third-grade children and adults.
S38: Books With Computer Games: How Interaction With Still Images And Audio Feedback Affects Readers’ Spatial Situation Models

Glenn Smith
University of South Florida
United States

Abstract:
This study investigated how books with computer games via PenTop computers (interaction with still images on microdot paper, with audio feedback) affect reading readers’ spatial situation models. Fifth graders read two equivalent short stories: one with computer games; the other in traditional book format. Dependent measures included multiple-choice posttest of spatial questions, and a one week delayed posttest of multiple-choice general questions. Students who read books with computer games scored significantly higher on both measures.

S39: Role Of Intonation For Modeling Dialog Acts From The Transcription Of Utterances

Mohammad Sorower
The University of Memphis
United States

Mohammed Yeasin
The University of Memphis
United States

Abstract:
This paper investigates robust modelling of dialog acts (DAs) using language model. Three different representations, namely, (i) bag of words, (ii) syntactical structure and (iii) intonation pattern using Tone and Break Indices (ToBI), were used to represent the data. A number of classifiers and their fusion based on diversity were used to model the DAs. HCRC Map Task dataset was used for empirical analyses and performance evaluation of DAs. From the empirical analyses it was found that language models yields accuracies up to 69% on 13 categories of DAs, and up to 86.95% on subset of DAs, respectively.

S40: Sense Visualization Of Polysemy Words With Latent Semantic Analysis

Guillermo Jorge-Botana
Autonoma University of Madrid
Spain

José Antonio León
Autonoma University of Madrid
Spain

Ricardo Olmos
Autonoma University of Madrid
Spain

Abstract:
The aim of this study is to analyze the disambiguation of a polysemy word in a retrieval context and to visualize its semantic network using a Spanish corpus and LSA. We calculated this disambiguation extracting the 30 first neighbours under three conditions a), word “hoja” isolated; b), two word-context pairs (“hoja-rosal” and “hoja-tinta”); and c), adjusting the cosine and vector length with Kintsch’s algorithm. Results suggest some advantages in the disambiguation of a polysemy word.
S41: New Algorithms For Evaluation Summaries With LSA

Ricardo Olmos
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
Spain

Guillermo Jorge-Botana
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
Spain

José Antonio León
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
Spain

Inmaculada Escudero
Universidad Nebrija
Spain

Abstract:
In this study we propose two new algorithms to improve the reliability of LSA assessing short summaries. The first algorithm is an adaptation of predication algorithm from Kintsch (2001) and the second is based in a mathematical extension of the semantic space of LSA proposed by Hu et al. (2007). The results show an improvement in LSA’s evaluations when it is compared with four human expert graders.

S42: Using LIWC To Distinguish Irony From Literal Language

Monica A. Riordan
University of Memphis
United States

Roger J. Kreuz
University of Memphis
United States

Abstract:
Lexical factors have been proposed to play a role in identification of written irony. Using two corpora of literal and ironic statements from two different regions, the presence of negative emotion words, anger words, swear words, sadness words, anxiety words, certainty words, interjections, adverbs, exclamation points, and question marks are examined using the LIWC2007 computer program. Results suggest that irony is not an entirely pragmatic phenomenon and may be recognized at the lexical level.

S43: Exploring The Efficiency Of Auto-Tutor On Learning

Kris Kopp
Northern Illinois University
United States

Keith Millis
Northern Illinois University
United States

Anne Britt
Northern Illinois University
United States

Abstract:
We examined different formats of Auto-Tutor on learning research methods. The formats differed on the extent to which the participant engaged in a dialog with an animated agent. We found larger learning gains for increased dialog, as compared to a no dialog control. However, the effect was partially confounded with time on task. We discuss the issue of efficiency of learning within this context.

S44: How Are Overhearers To Monologues And Dialogues In Computer-Mediated Communication Affected By Task Difficulty And Cultural Differences?

Roxanne Raine
University of Twente
Netherlands

Abstract:
The degree to which presence of feedback and interaction affect overhearers is examined in a number of novel ways. First, my studies are computer-mediated, which may reduce overhearer and dialogue
superiority effects due to publicness of computer-mediated speech. Additionally, I constrained speakers by manipulating their experiences and their beliefs about their partners. Dialogue superiority effects and overhearer effects are not as clearly defined as once thought. Different constraints yield different results.

**S45: Automatic Detection Of The Origin Of English-Written News Articles**

Vasile Rus  
The University of Memphis  
United States  

Moongee Jeon  
The University of Memphis  
United States  

Arthur Graesser  
The University of Memphis  
United States

**Abstract:**
This paper presents a study on automatic detection of the source of English-written news articles. That is, given a news article the task is to automatically identify its source from a set of possible sources. We compare two methods in combination with two machine learning algorithms (naïve Bayes and decision trees) to automatically induce classifiers able to identify news articles’ source/origin. We found that a content-based method that uses word-weighting outperforms a cohesion-based method which relies on cohesion indices.

**S46: Verifying Manual Linguistic And Conceptual Metaphor Analysis By The Semantic Annotation Tool WMATRIX**

Tina Krennmayr  
VU University Amsterdam  
The Netherlands

**Abstract:**
We have identified metaphorical expressions in newspaper texts (50,000 words) taken from the BNC-Baby corpus using MIP, a reliable metaphor identification procedure (Pragglejaz Group 2007). By employing the 5-step method (Steen 1999), we derived conceptual structures for a sample of those linguistic metaphors that have been identified. This paper demonstrates the convergence of two methods for metaphor analysis in natural language – the 5-step method and the semantic annotation tool Wmatrix (Rayson 2008).
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E47: Visualizing Polysemy Structures Using LSA And Predication Algorithm

G. Jorge-Batana
J. A. Léon
R. Olmos

Abstract:
Context is a determinant factor in language and plays a decisive role in polysemy words. Some psycholinguistic based algorithms has been proposed to emulate the management of the context that humans do in the assumption that the value of a word is evanescent and take sense when interact with other structures (e.g., Kintsch, 2001, uses a vector representation of the words that produce LSA for dynamically simulating the comprehension of predications). The objective of this study was predict unwanted effects that could be present in the vector-space models when extracting different senses to a polysemy word (Predominant content flood, Accurate-less and Low-level definition) using a Spanish corpus. The results support the idea that this human-based computational algorithm as Predication can take into account features that ensures more accurate representations of the structures we want to extract.

E48: The Effect Of Early Activation Of Pertinent Perceptual Symbols In Language Comprehension

K. Pepera
I. Tapiero

Abstract:
We studied the early activation of the most pertinent perceptual symbol depending on the type of contextual background within which objects were located. We compared the activation of the shape of objects situated in concrete-typical contexts to that of abstract-neutral contexts. Pictures of the objects were presented at the end of sentences replacing their names. Their action implied all possible shapes of the objects depending on the type of situated contexts. Results showed that immediately after subjects read the contextual actions, they initiated a perceptual simulation of the most likely implied shape. We observed a match-mismatch effect depending on the type of contextual background. When the action was situated in an abstract-neutral context, subjects were much slower in simulating the objects within a specific experiential background. The results were interpreted within the theoretical assumptions of perceptual symbol systems.

E49: Gaze Grounds Meaning In Situated Human-Robot Interaction

M. Staudte
Saarland University
Germany

M.W. Crocker
Saarland University
Germany

Abstract:
Gaze during situated language production and comprehension is tightly coupled with the unfolding speech in a manner that provides relevant on-line information about intended referents. In a series of eye-tracking experiments exploiting several tasks, we investigate whether people similarly exploit such gaze when listening to a robot make statements about the shared visual environment. Our findings suggests that (a) that people's visual attention is influenced on-line by both the robot's gaze and speech, (b) that temporally aligned and congruent (to mentioned objects) gaze facilitates comprehension, and (c)
that robot gaze does indeed influence what listeners think the robot intended to say. This supports the view that spoken interaction with robots benefits when those agents exhibit real-time gaze behaviour that is aligned with their speech, and highlights the importance of such real-time non-verbal cues for grounding situated communication.

E50: The Representation Of The Temporality Of Events As A Dynamic Process: The Effect Of Mental Simulation

E. Peyroux
I. Tapiero

Abstract:
According to the theory of Grounded Cognition, simulation is the core form of computation in the brain. In line with this theory, the goal of our study was to investigate the effects of the temporality of events in narrative texts on the construction of mental models. Forty participants read 8 narrative texts that described the temporality of a specific event. The temporal information could be either explicit or implicit and the event could be described with a long duration or a short duration. At the end of the reading of each story, a picture depicting an event presented (or not) in the text was displayed. Subjects’ task was to answer if the picture corresponded to a “stage” of the specific event. Our main results showed that the temporality of an event is “coded” in the reader’s mental model and confirmed the relevance of the mental simulation to characterize temporal representations.

E51: Language About Force Modulates Response Force

L. Taylor
Erasmus Universiteit
Rotterdam
The Netherlands

R. Zwaan
Erasmus Universiteit
Rotterdam
The Netherlands

Abstract:
Comprehension of language about action is associated with motor activation. Two experiments address two novel aspects of this phenomenon and incorporate the concept of motor parameterization from the action planning literature into the study of embodied sentence processing. The experiments address whether response force can be affected by the understanding sentences that imply a high or low degree of biomechanical force. Finding affirmative evidence for this hypothesis, the results are discussed in terms of action parameterization and language comprehension and in light of the extant literature.

E52: Language Independence In Bilinguals During Sentence Comprehension

L. Vandeberg
Erasmus Universiteit
Rotterdam
The Netherlands

R. Zwaan
Erasmus Universiteit
Rotterdam
The Netherlands

Abstract:
We set out to test whether parallel processing of languages could be demonstrated during language comprehension in spoken sentence processing. In an experiment using the Visual World Paradigm we tracked the eye movements in bilinguals who were presented with English sentences that included interlingual homophones. In a pilot experiment, we assessed phonological overlap among interlingual homophones. Preliminary results of the eye tracking study show that while making a decision to the English target picture in the homophone condition (e.g., mice), bilinguals were distracted by Dutch nontarget pictures (e.g., corn, Dutch form MAIS) more often than by unrelated filler pictures.
The findings support the language independent view of lexical access, since bilinguals show co-activation of both languages during sentence comprehension in their second language.

**E53: Embodiment Processes In Abstract Concept Learning**

M.L. Lorusso  
M. Burigo  
A. Milani  
L. Pigazzini

**Abstract:**  
Embodiment processes have been indicated as a critical cognitive mechanism for the establishment of conceptual representations; in fact, there is evidence that concepts are largely determined by the form of the human body and shaped by its interaction (physical and perceptual) with the environment. The present study aimed to test the role of embodiment processes in the acquisition of novel abstract concepts by children of different ages. The novel concepts were presented by series of positive and negative examples, comparing two modalities: embodied (visual) or non-embodied (verbal) presentation. Each series was repeated twice. Children’s descriptions of the novel concepts were collected after each series, and quantitative as well as qualitative analysis were carried out. Results show that verbal descriptions overall lead to better learning as compared to visual scenes. This suggests that the role of linguistic abstraction in concept acquisition is predominant over embodied experience.

**E54: “Iconic” Memory: The Effect Of Spatial Iconicity And Word-Order Frequency On Relatedness Judgments And Recognition Memory**

C.A. Kurby  
Washington University  
United States  

C. Tse  
Washington University  
United States  

F. Du  
Washington University  
United States

**Abstract:**  
Perceptual simulation of the relative spatial relations between two words, termed spatial iconicity, has been shown to influence judgments for their semantic relatedness. Recent evidence suggests that linguistic variables, such as word-order frequency, show equal ability to predict semantic relatedness judgments between those same word pairs. We examined whether spatial iconicity, a perceptual simulation of the typical location of objects (e.g., sky at the top and ground at the bottom), has long-lasting effects on episodic memory of orientation, and to what extent the word-order frequency accounts for those effects. The current experiment shows that word-order frequency, but not spatial iconicity, predicted semantic relatedness judgments, but that spatial iconicity, but not word-order frequency, predicted memory performance. This suggests that linguistic and perceptual representations influence cognition at different time scales. Linguistic representations influence cognition in a more immediate fashion than perceptual simulations do.

**E55: Object-Size And Case-Marking Effects In The Appropriateness Of Sentences Describing Scenes**

E. Miyamoto  
S. Tanigawa  
T. Iizuka

**Abstract:**  
Judgments on whether a statement appropriately describes a scene are commonly fed into models to guide robot-like agents. We report two experiments in Japanese indicating that subtle differences (relative size of the objects depicted; case markings) require more careful consideration. First, an object (e.g., a red ball) was judged to be closer if it was larger than the reference (the blue ball in “from the point
of view of the blue ball, the red ball is near”; Ps<.05). This is expected if distance perception is affected by how large the object looms ahead viewed from the reference. Second, nominative-marked subjects in Japanese can carry an exhaustive reading (“it's the red ball, and no other, that's big”) and their felicitousness degrade when a more appropriate object (a larger ball) is present. This was indeed the case compared to topic-marked subjects, that do not have an exhaustive reading (Ps<.01).

E56: Situated Language Learning

J. Koehne
M. Crocker

Abstract:
Words are often embedded in both linguistic and visual contexts. For language learners who try to understand the meanings of the single sentence parts and the language's structure, it is a challenge that both are intertwined. However, it is also a chance - various kinds of bootstrapping effects help the learner analyse. The visual context is necessary but confusing: While providing a direct way to establish world-word mappings it is very noisy. Our study reflects an integrative account of word and grammar learning assuming cross-situational word learning (CSWL). Like in other CSWL studies, the visual noisiness is mimicked. However, additionally, we present words embedded in a natural way, in sentences. Preliminary results indicate that words are learned. Moreover, an interesting bootstrapping effect due to verb restriction seems to takes place. World-word-mapping pairs are presented in varying combinations and learned over trials by analysing the co-occurrences of words and referents.

E57: Attributing Causality In Multimodal Language-Graphics Comprehension

C. Acarturk
C. Habel

Abstract:
In understanding language-graphics documents the 'visual world' perceived during comprehension is an 'abstract world', e.g. of line graphs in time and value domain depicting states, events and processes. Building up a coherent model of the document’s content is mediated by multimodal conceptual representations accessible both from graphical and from linguistic entities that provide temporal-spatial characteristics of both modalities. In an eye tracking experiment we explored the role of annotation position and shape of graph lines on causal attributions concerning the relation between annotation events (i.e. events presented by the annotation text) and the processes and states represented by process-lines (increases / decreases) or state-lines (no-change). Different positions of linguistic annotations on graph lines resulted in systematically different patterns in judgments for causal attributions. The findings support the view that differences in the perceptual world results in different comprehension characteristics.

E58: Quantity In Different Sentence Contexts: The Role Of Verticality

I. Boot
Erasmus University
Rotterdam
The Netherlands

D. Pecher
Erasmus University
Rotterdam
The Netherlands

Abstract:
We examined the metaphor QUANTITY-IS-VERTICALITY in the context of metaphorical language. Participants read sentences in which the quantity was a little or a lot in the context of that sentence and made a decision over the location a letter p or q was presented at the top or bottom of the screen. Letters were identified better at the top of the screen when they read a sentence in which the quantity was a lot
compared to a little, whereas performance was better on letter at the bottom of the screen when they had read a sentence in which the quantity was a little. This suggests that the image schema (VERTICALITY) got active during comprehension of non-metaphorical sentences about quantity.

E59: Integration Of The Temporal Dimension Into A Spatial Situation Model Depending On The Verb Used In A Spatial Instruction

C. Vorwerg  P. Weiß
Bielefeld University  University of Karlsruhe
Germany  Germany

Abstract:
Previous research has shown that the interpretation of spatial prepositions, such as in front of or behind, in the dynamic context of a moving car can be influenced by the verb used in a spatial placement instruction. To address the question of what components of the contextually activated verb meaning give rise to this variation, we conducted experiments with additional verbs and compared the results obtained for six different verbs used in the placement instruction. The findings indicate that the semantic aspect of motion interruption associated with some verbs leads to a higher proportion of deictic interpretations, resulting in an inconsistent interpretation of the prepositions. This verb effect can be explained by an activation of the temporal dimension through stop-implying verbs, which is integrated into the spatial situation model of the perceived dynamic scene, making the deictic frame of reference more compatible.

E60: Modal-Specific Load Incurs Processing Costs: Explaining Interference In The Stroop-Task

J. Weijers  H. IJzerman
Utrecht University  Utrecht University
The Netherlands  The Netherlands

D. Lakens  P. Cool
Utrecht University  Utrecht University
The Netherlands  The Netherlands

Abstract:
An array of research demonstrates that cognitive representations are grounded in sensorimotor, modal-specific systems. Perceptual Symbols System (PSS, Barsalou, 2008) calls for a re-examination of classical paradigms on the basis of these theories. We examined the classical Stroop-paradigm to investigate the underlying process explaining these effects. We found that increased interference on a Stroop-task is more strongly associated with a modal-specific (visual) load than with a non-modal-specific (verbal) load in incongruent conditions. The visual load consisted of three tertiary colors. The verbal load consisted of two nonsense words. The Stroop-trials were congruent (e.g. “blue” written in blue), incongruent (e.g. “blue” written in red) or neutral (“XXXX” written in either color). Additionally, we found that a verbal load reduces Stroop-interference, contradicting other social cognitive theories on processing goals. These results also support theories that words describing colors are processed using visual working memory.
E61: How Do Experience And Language Contribute To The Representation Of Abstract Concepts?

P. Della Rosa
E. Catricalà
G. Vigliocco
S.F. Cappa

Abstract:
Reference to physical entities is considered as the main factor distinguishing concrete concepts from abstract ones. We attempt to revise this oversimplistic view through the introduction of a new construct, mode of acquisition (MoA). MoA refers to the way in which concepts are acquired: through experience, through language or through both. We asked 250 participants to rate 420 words on 7 dimensions: age of acquisition, concreteness, familiarity, context availability (CA), imageability, and two new dimensions: MoA and abstractness. We found that abstract words (as defined on the concreteness dimension) are mostly rated as learnt through language, whilst concrete ones are learnt through experience. However only CA and MoA explain the variation of perceived abstractness for abstract concepts. Finally, 104 concepts were selected from 3 abstract domains: the only factor explaining this classification is MoA.

In conclusion, MoA appears to play an important role in the conceptual representation of abstract words.

E62: Semantic Domain-Specific Functional Integration For Action-Related vs. Abstract concepts

M. Ghio
Vita-Salute San Raffaele
University
Italy

M. Tettamanti
Scientific Institute San Raffaele
Italy

Abstract:
Reference to physical entities is considered as the main factor distinguishing concrete concepts from abstract ones. We attempt to revise this oversimplistic view through the introduction of a new construct, mode of acquisition (MoA). MoA refers to the way in which concepts are acquired: through experience, through language or through both. We asked 250 participants to rate 420 words on 7 dimensions: age of acquisition, concreteness, familiarity, context availability (CA), imageability, and two new dimensions: MoA and abstractness. We found that abstract words (as defined on the concreteness dimension) are mostly rated as learnt through language, whilst concrete ones are learnt through experience. However only CA and MoA explain the variation of perceived abstractness for abstract concepts. Finally, 104 concepts were selected from 3 abstract domains: the only factor explaining this classification is MoA.

In conclusion, MoA appears to play an important role in the conceptual representation of abstract words.

E63: Representations Of Events Are Situated Where The Experienced Changes Of Related States Are Retained

T. Welke
K. Nowack
G. Schaadt
E. van der Meer

Abstract:
If event representations are situated, the inherent experienced temporal order should affect conceptual processing. To test this hypothesis we presented a context event (e.g., to cook) and subsequently two adjectives denoting the beginning and the end states related with the event (cold – hot). Participants decided whether the two adjectives belonged together or not (cold – white). We varied (1) whether the antonyms were semantically related or unrelated with the event (e.g., cook: black – white) and (2) whether the presented order of the event-related antonyms corresponded to the chronological order of
the states or not (cook: cold – hot vs. hot – cold). Although participants were instructed not to incorporate the context event into their decision, reaction times were shortest when antonymous adjectives were related to the context and when the order corresponded to the chronological order. We conclude that representations of events are situated where the experienced changes of related states are retained.

**E64: Simulating In Progress And Completed Events**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C.J. Madden</th>
<th>M. Hoen</th>
<th>P. Ford Dominey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erasmus University</td>
<td>Stem Cell and Brain Research Institute</td>
<td>Stem Cell and Brain Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abstract:**
Embodied theories predict that descriptions of events activate dynamic simulations, and that pictures of instruments in use (burning match) better match readers’ simulations than instruments not in use (burnt match). Previous research (Madden & Therriault, in press) confirmed this prediction, showing stronger effects for ongoing events (imperfective aspect) rather than completed events (perfect aspect). In the current study, we test not only the acting instrument, but also the resulting recipient of the action. Participants read imperfective (was playing) and perfect (had played) event descriptions with the critical instrument word replaced by a picture of that instrument in use or not in use, and the critical patient word replaced by a completed or uncompleted picture of that object (cigarette lit or unlit). ERP recordings suggest that both aspects activate a simulation of the event, but that the imperfective focuses on the ongoing action, whereas the perfect focuses on the completed result.

**E65: Insights Into The Dynamics Of The Interaction Of Language Semantics, Attention And Perception**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. Lindsay</th>
<th>L. Meteyard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Abstract:**
Studies in embodied cognition have shown that the semantics of single nouns and sentences can interfere with the discrimination of targets in the upper or lower visual field. One explanation is that language semantics influences the deployment of attention, and leads to the activation of a perceptual simulation which then disrupts perception. We investigated the influence of language on the orientating of attention more directly using a lower level attentional task of visual detection. Participants saw English verbs that are associated with upwards (e.g. rise) downwards motion (e.g. descend), along with non-directionally associated controls. We expected that the directional verbs would orient attention but not lead to perceptual interference, as single verbs devoid of a linguistic context would not cause a detailed perceptual simulation to be run. To examine the time course of influences on attention and possible simulation, targets appeared at varying SOAs from visual word onset (100ms, 200ms, 400ms and 800ms). Our results provide insights into the dynamics of the interaction of language semantics, attention and perception.

**E66: Hands-On Experience: Gestures As Embodied Activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U. Sassenberg</th>
<th>E. van der Meer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Abstract:**
People gesture when they talk. These gestures have been recently proposed to arise from simulated actions (Hostetter & Alibali, 2008). To test if gestures are embodied representations of actions, we asked 30 participants to describe four everyday activities: two activities – when performed – involve more hand...
manipulation (making coffee, changing batteries in an alarm clock), and two involve less hand manipulation (ordering pizza, travelling by bus). As expected, participants accompanied their descriptions with more gestures when describing the activities that involve more hand manipulation compared to the other activities. In particular, they made pantomimes with their dominant hand that expressed the manipulation. Conversely, when describing activities with less manipulation participants made more rhythmic gestures that are similar to filler words. We combined quantitative and qualitative analyses to specify how gestures might be a product of simulated actions and to integrate the findings in the embodied cognition framework.

E67: Does Reading About A Protagonist Who Is Pressed For Time Speed Up Reading And Response Times?

B. Kaup
Technical University of Berlin
Germany

J. Lüdtke
Free University Berlin
Germany

Abstract:
In this research we were interested in whether a reader can be put into a hurried tune by reading about a protagonist who is severely pressed for time, and accordingly carries out certain tasks in a speedily manner. If so, we might observe faster reading and response times during and/or after reading such a story as compared to a condition in which the same protagonist is not pressed for time and slowly carries out these tasks. In four experiments we measured reading times for sentences that were the same in both versions, as well as response times in subsequent tasks. We did not find evidence for a general speed-up of reading and response times. Rather, reading times in the hurried version were only faster for sentences that anaphorically referred back to the fast/slow events. Similarly, response times in the hurried version were only faster for tasks that require taking into account the story just read (e.g., probe-recognition task).

E68: Manipulation And Function Similarity Predict Degree Of Neural Overlap For Objects: Evidence From Fmri-Adaptation

E. Yee
D.M. Drucker
S.L. Thompson-Schill

Abstract:
We used fMRI adaptation to test sensorimotor-based theories of semantic memory, measuring brain activation as participants read pairs of words related via sensorimotor (manipulation, shape) and abstract (function) features. We found that the degree of manipulation similarity was correlated with the degree of adaptation in left premotor cortex and in left intraparietal sulcus – regions involved in performing or guiding actions. We also found three regions in which function (but not manipulation) similarity was correlated with the degree of adaptation: two in the left temporal lobe (left medial temporal lobe, left middle temporal gyrus) which has been hypothesized to play a role in multimodal integration, and one in the left superior frontal gyrus. Unexpectedly, objects similar in shape showed increased activation (rather than adaptation) in left premotor cortex and left intraparietal sulcus. Overall, results suggest that objects that share semantic features (sensorimotor or abstract) have overlapping representations.
E69: Sensory Contributions To Simulations

R. Becker  M. Gonzalez-Marquez
Wilfrid Laurier University  Cornell University
Canada United States

Abstract:
One tenet of embodiment theory is that knowledge is grounded in perception and action. Research has shown that areas of the brain active when perceiving an event are also active when remembering or simulating it. Little is known however, about the contribution of a given sense to a simulation. For example, it is possible to hear a nail being nailed to a wall as well as to see it. How do vision and audition help build the simulation for ‘nailing a nail to a wall’? In this study we begin to address this issue by asking whether there might be processing differences stemming from simulating sentences about different sensory experiences. Preliminary findings indicate that this may well be the case. This talk will discuss these findings and their implications for embodiment theory.

E70: Irony And Embodiment: Evidence From The Movement-Compatibility Effect

R. Filik  C.M. Hunter  H. Leuthold
The University of Glasgow  The University of Glasgow  The University of Glasgow
United Kingdom United Kingdom United Kingdom

Abstract:
We investigated the influence of irony on readers’ mental simulation of a piece of text using a cognitive-embodied approach. We hypothesised that if people immediately construct a mental model consistent with an ironic interpretation, the movement-compatibility effect, which relates to faster pushing movements with negative than positive words and pulling movements with positive than negative words, would be reversed. Participants read statements appearing in contexts which made it apparent that the target sentence should be either interpreted literally, or ironically. The target sentence was presented word-by-word. The intended interpretation became apparent on the final word, which changed to one of two colours after 250 ms. Participants had to indicate, by pushing or pulling a lever, which colour the final word had changed to. As hypothesised, movements were faster when the required action was compatible with the ironic intention of the comment (positive-push, negative-pull), suggesting that non-literal language is also ‘embodied’.

E71: Look But Don’t Touch: Haptic Disadvantage In Conceptual Processing

L. Connell  D. Lynott
University of Manchester  University of Manchester
United Kingdom United Kingdom

Abstract:
Recent neuroimaging research has shown that perceptual and conceptual processing share a common, modality-specific neural substrate, while work on modality switching costs shows that they appear to share the same attentional mechanisms. In three experiments, we employed a modality identification task that displayed modality-specific object properties (e.g., unimodal shrill, warm, crimson or bimodal jagged, fluffy) for extremely short display times and asked participants to judge whether each property corresponded to a particular target modality (auditory, gustatory, haptic, olfactory, or visual). Results show that perceptual and conceptual processing share a haptic disadvantage: people need more time to identify expected information regarding the sense of touch than any other modality. These findings support embodied assertions that the conceptual system uses the perceptual system for the purposes of
representation and suggest that the haptic disadvantage emerges for linguistic stimuli due to the evolutionary adaptation of endogenous attention to incoming sensory stimuli.

E72: Effects Of Linguistic And Visuo-Spatial Semantic Relations On Pronoun Interpretation

E. Kaiser
University of Southern California
United States

Abstract:
This research investigates (i) the representations underlying pronoun resolution and (ii) the cognitive representations of causality and similarity, in particular their domainspecificity/-generality. We used a novel paradigm (visual-world eye-tracking+priming) to investigate the causality and similarity representations triggered by linguistic primes and visuo-spatial/nonlinguistic primes. We tested whether semantic relations in primes (causality/similarity) influence interpretation of ambiguous pronouns in targets. Pronouns allow us to test for activation of causality and similarity representations; they are sensitive to this distinction (Wolf etal’04, Kehler etal’08). Eye-movements showed ambiguous pronoun interpretation was influenced by the causality/similarity manipulation. Crucially, this was significant with linguistic and visuospatial primes. Representations triggered by primes influenced target processing, suggesting: (i) effects of semantic relations on pronouns result from non-pronoun-specific representations; (ii) the causality/similarity representations influencing language comprehension are represented/encoded in a domain-general way. We connect these findings to other studies we conducted, and discuss broader implications for anaphors and semantic representations.

E73: Flexing Versus Extending: Approach-Avoidance Actions Affect The Processing Of Desiderative-Mood Sentences

B. Claus
Saarland University
Germany

R. Bader
Saarland University
Germany

Abstract:
This study is concerned with the comprehension of sentences describing desired situations. It is an extension of previous work that suggests that processing such desiderative-mood sentences facilitates approach actions compared with avoidance actions. The present experiment addressed the reversed effect, i.e., an effect of action on comprehension. Participants had to maintain either an approach-related arm posture (flexing) or an avoidance-related arm posture (extending) and simultaneously had to judge the sensibility of auditorily presented sentences (by pressing a key with their “free” hand). Response times for factual-mood control sentences (e.g., Lisa has rested in a hammock) did not differ between the two arm-posture conditions. In contrast, responses to desiderative-mood sentences (e.g., Lisa wants to rest in a hammock) were significantly faster in the approach condition compared with the avoidance condition. This result provides further support for the assumption that comprehending sentences in desiderative mood involves an activation of the approach system.

E74: Visual Working Memory In Situated Language Comprehension

E. Ellsiepen
Saarland University
Germany

M. Crocker
Saarland University
Germany

Abstract:
Spoken language and visual attention have been shown to rapidly influence each other: While language guides referential and anticipatory gaze in a scene, the acquired information from the scene may
conversely help in resolving ambiguities in the utterance. Scenes used in influential studies (e.g. Tanenhaus et al. 95, Altmann&Kamide 04, Knoeferle et al. 05), however, usually include only four to five co-present scene entities. We investigate the extent to which richer visual contexts are used in language comprehension, and the influence of visual working memory. Participants saw seven entities in sequence, and the display was then made blank prior to sentence onset. We observed that anticipation of referents still occurred in restrictive verb contexts, and also that the order in which those entities were presented influenced gaze behavior. These results can be used to integrate the notion of working memory in models of situated language processing.

E75: Unmovable Physique Makes Unshakable Beliefs? The Role Of Attention In Processing Abstract Thought

M. Bos
Radboud University
Nijmegen
The Netherlands

H. IJzerman
Utrecht University
The Netherlands

Abstract:
Research shows that abstract phenomena are grounded in experiential domains. Recent criticism however has focused on the role of attention in grounding abstract thought. For example, people who (literally) carry more weight are regarded to be less moveable – physically, but also in their attitudes. We argue here that this is only occurs under a specific set of circumstances on the basis of the specific, adaptive needs of the perceiver. In the current set of studies, we demonstrate that (1) participants view heavier people to be less moveable physically; this effect is carried over to attitudes only for (2) heavier females, who (3) agree with the perceiver’s opinion.

E76: Does How You Feel Matter To How You Read? The Effect Of Mood On Language Comprehension

D. de Goede
Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics
Netherlands

E. Mulder
University of Amsterdam
Netherlands

J. van Berkum
Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics
Donders Institute
Netherlands

P. van Alphen
Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics
Netherlands

J. Kerstholt
TNO Human Factors
Netherlands

Abstract:
Many aspects of cognition, such as memory retrieval, decision-making, and the use of stereotypes, have been found to be sensitive to mood, the diffuse, objectless affective state the person is in. Although the exact mechanisms are hotly debated, the evidence suggests that people in a happy mood are more inclined to rely on heuristic processing strategies than people in a sad mood. Here we use ERPs to investigate whether mood also affects the use of heuristics (or ‘educated guesses’) to anticipate upcoming language as a sentence unfolds. Our findings show that mood can indeed modulate language comprehension, and can selectively make a heuristics-dependent P600 effect come and go. Such findings testify to the importance of studying the language-affect interface in psycholinguistics: it is not just that language, once understood, can change one's feelings and emotions — the latter can alter the mechanisms by which we come to understand language in the first place.
E77: What Does It Mean To Work? Examining The Functional Neuroanatomical Correlates Of Verbally Implied Action

M. Nieuwland
T. Ditman
G. Kuperberg

Abstract:
In this ongoing fMRI study we test the embodied view of language comprehension by examining whether modulations of activity in motor-stripe regions are also observed when people comprehend sentences wherein certain actions are only implied. Based on stimulus ratings, occupation nouns were selected that are strongly and specifically associated with arms/hands or face/mouth or not strongly associated with specific body movements at all. Using a 3 by 2 factorial design, we will compare BOLD responses to sentences that imply movement of arms/hands, face/mouth or no specific body parts as part of these occupations (e.g., “The lumberjack/newscaster/home inspector is working...”) with responses to sentences without implied movement (“The lumberjack/newscaster/home inspector is working...”). In order to constrain the analysis of the functional data, we will obtain a localizer scan to identify the relevant motor-stripe regions on an individual subject basis. Data from approximately 15 subjects will be presented.

E78: Understanding Number Names Affects Visual Processing

A. Myachykov
M. Fischer
University of Dundee
University of Dundee
United Kingdom
United Kingdom

Abstract:
Seeing smaller digits (1, 2) improves visual target detection in the left visual field while seeing larger digits (8, 9) provides similar facilitation in the right visual field (Fischer et al. 2003; 2004). This and similar findings support the existence of perceptually grounded number representations. Two new experiments investigated how understanding number names affects spatial biases. In Experiment 1, participants listened to number names while fixating a central cross. Eye position drifts reflected spontaneous magnitude processing with consistent shifts to the left after hearing a small number and to the right – after hearing a larger number. In Experiment 2, participants saccaded to lateral visual targets that appeared at variable delays after auditorily presented number names. A similar facilitation pattern was observed with leftward saccades initiated faster after hearing smaller numbers but only after longer (1200 ms) delays. Together, these results support the existence of largely embodied number representations and clarify the role of covert and overt attention in number processing.
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